Zpectrometer observing technical notes

May 20, 2008

A. I. Harris and A. J. Baker

These notes are meant to assist with proposal preparation for the Zpectrometer by supplying information on system specifications, overheads, and sensitivity. They will also be made available to GBT proposal referees and the GBT Scheduling Committee.

1 Bandwidth and resolution

The Zpectrometer covers 25.6–37.7 GHz ($z = 2.06$–3.50 for CO $J = 1-0$, 5.12–8.00 for CO $J = 2-1$, 1.35–2.46 for HCN $J = 1-0$, and 3.70–5.92 for HCN $J = 2-1$). It contains four independent sub-bands with a few channels of overlap between each adjacent pair.

The standard frequency resolution is 24 MHz, with frequency resolution constant across all bands. With a 38% fractional bandwidth the velocity resolution changes noticeably from one end of the band to the other. It is possible to push the frequency resolution to 18 MHz at the cost of modest increases in calibration (see below) and processing time. It is also possible to adjust channel center frequencies and the resolution element shape in steps of about 1/3 of the resolution width in post-processing.

2 Observing mode

The Zpectrometer's flat baselines result in part from the switching secondary scheme that Ron Maddalena and others at Green Bank developed, in which the subreflector moves to alternate the optical image of the source on the Ka-receiver's two feed horns. The Zpectrometer continuously differences the signals from these beams, so the source is observed in both subreflector positions. Switching the subreflector alone still leaves ~ 60 mJy of lumpy baseline structure from optical beam imbalance, however. The structure is not sufficiently stable for long deep integrations, but it is stable over minutes. Taking this into consideration, the Zpectrometer's standard observing mode is to switch between a "source" position and a nearby "sky" position with four minutes on each position. Differencing the raw spectra from the two positions removes the optical imbalance to a high degree. The "sky" position can be true blank sky or it can coincide with a second scientifically interesting target. In the latter case, should both targets be detected, the "source" target appears as a positive line and the "sky" target appears as a negative line in the position-differenced spectrum. The strategy of observing target pairs can be generalized to multiple targets that are close to each other on the sky. Naturally, it is best to avoid pairing targets whose lines could fall at exactly the same velocity, as they would cancel to an unknown degree in the difference spectrum. We have not quantified how close sources should be on the sky for this observing mode to work, but a few degrees seems reasonable, especially at high elevation where the differential pickup should change slowly with position. The double switching carries an apparent cost of two in observing time, but structure from fluctuations in the nonideal 60 mJy baseline structure more than swamps the theoretical gain in observing efficiency.

3 OVERHEADS

3.1 *Basic observing overheads*

The subreflector switching efficiency is 0.73 at present, with the loss coming from the mechanical transition time between beams. Each telescope slew between pair positions takes $\sim$ 15 s. Software initiation for a scan is $\sim$ 10 s. It is necessary to point and refocus on a nearby quasar after an hour of integration on source to keep the subreflector actuator bearings lubricated. Pointing on a nearby quasar takes about 6 minutes. Although the pointing is generally very good most of the time, within 1/3 beamwidth, the periodic pointing checks are useful checks for wind shake.

A typical observing cycle comprises 7 repetitions × 2 positions × 4 minute scans and a pointing cycle. This pattern yields 20.5 minutes of integration on each of "source" and "sky" in a total of 65.5 minutes of elapsed (wall-clock) time for a basic observing efficiency $\eta_{\text{obs}} = 0.62$, as expected from the individual efficiency contributions.

3.2 *Session overheads*

Observing session overheads include receiver selection, initial slewing and pointing, and calibration. There is no setup or tuning overhead for the Zpectrometer past running an Astrid script to set some switches in the Ka-band receiver. Receiver selection can take from no time at all if the Ka-band receiver is already at focus, to 5–10 minutes. Initial slewing to and pointing on near a calibrator or science target takes 15–30 minutes.

The only overhead unique to the Zpectrometer is a requirement to take a spectrum of a bright quasar (typically 3C48 or 3C286) with known 32 GHz flux each session. A contemporaneous spectrum provides accurate passband and flux calibration and system diagnostics. One spectrum with a few minutes of integration time per observing session is sufficient. "Source," "sky," and calibration spectra combine to make a fully calibrated science spectrum

$$S_\nu(f) = \frac{R_{\text{source1}}(f) - R_{\text{source2}}(f)}{R_{\text{calibrator}}(f)} \, S_{\nu,\,\text{calibrator}}(f = 32 \text{ GHz}) \qquad (1)$$

where $R(f)$ is the accumulated raw spectrum for a given position. Slewing and pointing before and after calibrator observations takes a total of 20–30 minutes.

Shutdown procedures take a minute at most. Overall session overheads are thus somewhere between 30 and 60 minutes.

3.3 *Super-session overheads*

Every one or two weeks it is advisable to make a receiver and correlator internal phase calibration, which takes about 20 minutes for 24 MHz resolution and 30 minutes for 18 MHz resolution. In contrast to the observations of passband and flux calibrators, these phase calibration datasets can be shared between projects.

4 SENSITIVITY

In winter weather conditions (see related web link in §6), typical system temperatures are $\sim 45\,$K. (As a practical matter for observing, wind is often more of a problem than opacity.) This value is representative and varies with frequency. The Zpectrometer continually monitors and logs an internal signal to produce its own T_{sys} estimate; by next winter, we expect that the value will be written into data headers to allow automatic data weighting by T_{sys}.

4.1 Ideal sensitivity

For a double-differenced spectrum from a correlation receiver the theoretical effective rms noise in a signal to noise calculation is

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{K}} = 2\,\frac{T_{\mathrm{sys}}}{\sqrt{B\tau\eta_{\mathrm{obs}}}} \tag{2}$$

where B is the channel's frequency width, τ is the sum of wall-clock integration times on the "source" and "sky" positions, and $\eta_{\mathrm{obs}} \approx 0.6$ is the basic observing efficiency discussed in §2. In flux, (2) becomes

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{Jy}} = 1.3\,\frac{T_{\mathrm{sys}}\,[\mathrm{K}]}{\sqrt{B\tau\eta_{\mathrm{obs}}}}\;[\mathrm{Jy}] \tag{3}$$

taking 1.50 K/Jy at 32 GHz from GBT documentation.

4.2 Measured sensitivity

Equation (3) predicts $\sigma_{\mathrm{Jy}} = 0.14$ Jy for the Cloverleaf detection spectrum's integration time, channel bandwidth, and system temperature. A representative rms across channels is 0.25–0.35 mJy, averaging over various sub-bands and channel ranges within sub-bands. This value includes systematic baseline structure wider than typical lines. It also scales with errors in estimated system temperature and overall flux calibration. The result implies that Zpectrometer spectral noise integrates down within a factor of about 2 of radiometric noise to noise levels of at least a few hundred μJy.

5 RECOMMENDATIONS

For proposal sensitivity estimates, use eq. (3) with $T_{\mathrm{sys}} = 45$ K, $B = 24$ MHz, and $\eta_{\mathrm{obs}} = 0.6$ for τ the sum of wall-clock seconds on both "source" and "sky" positions. If both "source" and "sky" targets carry equal scientific weight then the same time includes both targets. Our best advice at present is to multiply σ by a factor of 2 to account for nonradiometric noise. Consult the GBT documentation for scaling the representative T_{sys} to a specific frequency. In addition, allowing 30–60 minutes for startup and calibration activities and 15 minutes per target pair change gives a conservative but often realistic estimate of global overheads for each session.

6 LINKS

GBT observation planning guide:
`http://www.gb.nrao.edu/~rmaddale/GBT/ReceiverPerformance/PlaningObservations.htm`

Technical documentation on the Zpectrometer and observing:
`http://www.astro.umd.edu/~harris/kaband/`

Zpectrometer Cloverleaf detection spectrum:
`http://www.gb.nrao.edu/gbt/Zpectrometer/firstDetection.shtml`