

# *Modeling of Data*

Massimo Ricotti

`ricotti@astro.umd.edu`

University of Maryland

- *NRiC* §15.
- Model depends on adjustable parameters.
- Can be used for “constrained interpolation.”
- Basic approach:
  1. Choose *figure-of-merit* function (e.g.,  $\chi^2$ ).
  2. Adjust *best-fit parameters*: minimize merit function.
  3. Compute *goodness-of-fit*.
  4. Compute *error estimates* for parameters.

# Least Squares Fitting

- Suppose we want to fit  $N$  data points  $(x_i, y_i)$  with a function that depends on  $M$  parameters  $a_j$  and that each data point has a standard deviation  $\sigma_i$ . The *maximum likelihood estimate* of the model parameters is obtained by minimizing:

$$\chi^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \frac{y_i - y(x_i; a_1 \dots a_M)}{\sigma_i} \right]^2 .$$

- Assuming the errors are normally distributed, a “good fit” has  $\chi^2 \sim \nu$ , where  $\nu = N - M$ .
  - NOTE: Assumption of normal errors means glitches or outliers in data may overbias the fit—see *NRiC* §15.7 for discussion of more robust methods.
  - Grossly overestimated (underestimated)  $\sigma_i$ 's may give incorrect impression that fit is very good (very bad).

- If uncertain about reliability of goodness-of-fit measure, could do *Monte Carlo simulations* of fits to synthetic data.
- Question: what to do if  $\sigma_i$ 's not known? Answer: choose an arbitrary constant  $\sigma$ , perform the fit, then estimate  $\sigma$  from the fit:  
$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N [y_i - y(x_i)]^2 / \nu$$
 (note the denominator is what  $\chi^2$  *should* approximately be equal to, if the fit is good).

# Fitting Data to a Straight Line (Linear Regression)

- For this case the model is simply:

$$y(x) = y(x; a, b) = a + bx,$$

and

$$\chi^2(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{y_i - a - bx_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2.$$

- Derive formula for best-fit parameters by setting  $\partial\chi^2/\partial a = 0 = \partial\chi^2/\partial b$ . See *NRiC* §15.2 for the derivation (note: `sm` uses the same formulae for its `lsq` routine).

- Derive uncertainties in  $a$  and  $b$  from propagation of errors:

$$\sigma_f^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^2 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \right)^2,$$

where  $f = a(x_i, y_i, \sigma_i), b(x_i, y_i, \sigma_i)$  in this case (the  $x_i$ 's have no uncertainties).

- Want probability that  $\chi^2$  is bad by chance

$$Q = \text{gammq}((N - 2)/2, \chi^2/2) > 10^{-3} \text{ (here } (N - 2)/2 \equiv \nu/2\text{)}.$$

# General Linear Least Squares

- Can generalize to any combination that is linear in  $a_j$ 's:

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j X_j(x),$$

e.g.,  $y(x) = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + \dots + a_Mx^{M-1}$ , or sines and cosines.

- Define  $N \times M$  *design matrix*  $A_{ij} = X_j(x_i)/\sigma_i$ . Note  $N \geq M$  for the fit to make sense.
- Also define vector  $\mathbf{b}$  of length  $N$  where  $b_i = y_i/\sigma_i$ , and vector  $\mathbf{a}$  of length  $M$  where  $a_i = a_1, \dots, a_M$ .
- Then we wish to find  $\mathbf{a}$  that minimizes:

$$\chi^2 = |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}|^2.$$

- This is what SVD solves!

- Recall for SVD we had  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}^T$ .
- Rewriting the SVD solution we get:

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^M \left( \frac{\mathbf{U}_{(j)} \cdot \mathbf{b}}{w_j} \right) \mathbf{V}_{(j)},$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_{(j)}$  (length  $N$ ) and  $\mathbf{V}_{(j)}$  (length  $M$ ) denote columns of  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$ , respectively.

- As before, if  $w_j$  is small (or zero), can set  $1/w_j = 0$ .
  - Useful because least-squares problems are generally *both* overdetermined ( $N > M$ ) *and* underdetermined (ambiguous combinations of parameters exist)!
- Can also compute variances of estimated parameters:  
 $\sigma^2(a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^M (V_{ji}/w_i)^2$ .
- Can generalize to multidimensions.



# Nonlinear Models

- Suppose model depends *nonlinearly* on the  $a_j$ 's, e.g.,  
 $y(x) = a_1 \sin(a_2 x + a_3)$ .
- Still minimize  $\chi^2$ , but must proceed iteratively:
  - Use  $\mathbf{a}_{\text{next}} = \mathbf{a}_{\text{cur}} - \lambda \nabla \chi^2(\mathbf{a}_{\text{cur}})$  far from minimum (steepest descent), where  $\lambda$  is a constant.
  - Use  $\mathbf{a}_{\text{next}} = \mathbf{a}_{\text{cur}} - \mathbf{D}^{-1}[\nabla \chi^2(\mathbf{a}_{\text{cur}})]$  close to minimum, where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the *Hessian* matrix.

- • D comes from considering Taylor series expansion of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  near a point  $\mathbf{P}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= f(\mathbf{P}) + \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} x_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} x_i x_j + \dots \\ &\simeq c - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c \equiv f(\mathbf{P})$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \equiv -\nabla f|_{\mathbf{P}}$ , and  $A_{ij} \equiv \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Here  $\mathbf{A}$  is the Hessian matrix. Note that  $\nabla f = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$ .

- Close to its minimum,  $\chi^2$  can be approximated by the above quadratic form, and so an “exact” step can be taken to get to the point where  $\nabla\chi^2 = 0$ . The step is just  $\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\nabla f|_{\mathbf{P}}$ .
- In practice, terms involving the second derivatives of  $y$  with respect to the fit parameters can be ignored, so the Hessian matrix is much simpler to compute (recall the  $\chi^2$  function contains the model  $y$ ).
- The *Levenberg-Marquardt method* adjusts  $\lambda$  to smooth the transition between these two regimes (vary between a diagonal matrix and inverse Hessian).
  - Cf. *NRiC* §15.5 for details of the L-M method.

# *Levenberg-Marquardt method in NRiC*

- *NRiC* provides two routines, `mrqmin()` and `mrqcof()`, that implement the L-M method.
- The user must provide a function that computes  $y(x_i)$  as well as all the partial derivatives  $\partial y / \partial a_j$  evaluated at  $x_i$ .
- The routine `mrqmin()` is called iteratively until a successful step (i.e., one in which  $\lambda$  gets smaller) changes  $\chi^2$  by less than a fractional amount, like 0.001 (no point in doing better).

- Points to consider:
  - The argument list for `mrqmin()` is *very* complicated. For example, you can request that some parameters be held fixed (via input array `ia`).
  - You need to specify an initial guess for each  $a_j$  (and set  $\lambda < 0$ ).
  - Estimated variances in the parameters are returned as the diagonal elements of the *covariance matrix* (`covar`), if you call `mrqmin()` with  $\lambda = 0$ .
  - Also calls *NRiC* routines `covsrt()` and `gaussj()`.

```

void mrqmin(float x[], float y[], float sig[], int ndata, float a[], int ia[],
           int ma, float **covar, float **alpha, float *chisq,
           void (*funcs)(float, float [], float *, float [], int), float *alamda)
/* Levenberg-Marquardt method, attempting to reduce the value of Chi^2 of a fit
between a set of data points x[1..ndata], y[1..ndata] with individual standard
deviations sig[1..ndata], and a nonlinear function dependent on ma coefficients
a[1..ma]. The input array ia[1..ma] indicates by nonzero entries those
components of a that should be fitted for, and by zero entries those components
that should be held fixed at their input values. The program returns current
best fit values of the parameters a[1..ma], and Chi^2=chisq. ...
Supply a routine funcs(x,a,yfit,dyda,ma) that evaluates the fitting function
yfit, and its derivatives dyda[1..ma] with respect to the fitting parameters a
at x. On the first call provide an initial guess for the parameters a, and set
alambda<0 for initialization (which sets alambda=0.001). If a step succeeds
chisq becomes smaller and alambda decreases by a factor of 10. If a step fails
alambda grows by a factor of 10. You must call this routine repeatedly until
convergence is achieved. Then, make a final call with alambda=0, so that
covar[1..ma][1..ma] returns the covariance matrix, and alpha the curvature
matrix. (Parameters held fixed will return zero covariances.) */
{
    void covsrt(float **covar, int ma, int ia[], int mfit);
    void gaussj(float **a, int n, float **b, int m);
    void mrqcof(float x[], float y[], float sig[], int ndata, float a[],
               int ia[], int ma, float **alpha, float beta[], float *chisq,
               void (*funcs)(float, float [], float *, float [], int));
    .....
    .....
void fgauss(float x, float a[], float *y, float dyda[], int na)
//The dimensions of the arrays are a[1..na], dyda[1..na].
{
    .....
    .....
}

```