# Physics of Galaxy Evolution: What drives SF?

# **Observations vs. Theory**



observed galaxy luminosity function...

...is in major disagreement w/ CDM simulations



Somerville et al. 2008, Springel et al. 2005

# **Observations vs. Theory**



under the assumption that dark matter halos host gas: need to find mechanisms why conversion to stars is less efficient in low and high mass systems

either gas can never cool or gas gets reheated/removed

Somerville et al. 2008, Springel et al. 2005

### observed galaxy luminosity function...

#### ...is in major disagreement w/ CDM simulations



### Comparison: Data vs. Model



Somerville et al. 2009

Different assumptions on feedback: different <SFR>(z)

No feed-back: stars form too early (and too many of them)



The history of star formation in a  $\Lambda$ -CDM universe

There are plausible (not unique) approaches to SF and feed-back descriptions that match <SFR>(z)

#### Rate of feedback will also affect specific star formation rate



# Low-mass end: Galactic winds

winds can play an important role in the evolution of galaxies (particular low-mass) by removing gas from central parts

may explain:

- shape of luminosity function at low mass end
- metal deficiency of dwarf galaxies
- (part of) enrichment of IGM

![](_page_6_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Wind models for (dwarf) galaxies

- dwarfs with masses 10<sup>6</sup>  $M_{sun} \leq M \leq 10^9 M_{sun}$ ,

- mechanical luminosities L ~ 10<sup>37</sup> ··· 10<sup>39</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> (over 50 Myr)
- significant ejection of ISM only for galaxies with M  $\leq$  10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>
- efficient metal depletion for galaxies with M  $\leq$  10<sup>9</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>

Mc Low & Ferrara (1999)

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

higher energy input

Perhaps most famous example: M82

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

Declination (J2000)

### Other examples:

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

### NGC 1569

# At the lowest masses: possible blow-away?

Some of the lowest mass dwarf galaxies ( $<10^9 M_{sun}$ ) show evidence for 'blow-away'

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

At the highest masses:

Evidence for AGN feedback...

seen in simulations, but also observations?

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

di Matteo, Spingel, Hernquist

# AGN Feedback – radio (low accretion/X-ray faint) mode

Wanted: Process to prevent star formation that is only effective in massive galaxies

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Impact of (current) radio jets

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Radio Galaxy 0313-192 Hubble Space Telescope ACS WFC • Very Large Array

NASA, NRAO/AUI/NSF and W. Keel (University of Alabama) • STScI-PRC03-04

current AGN activity **do not appear** to have a major impact on the host galaxy (see also AGN lecture) the first spiral galaxy known to be producing a giant radio-emitting jet

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

Centaurus A

# Small Scale: AGN Feedback can 'starve' central AGN

Impact of nuclear activity on small scales, e.g., IC 342

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Feedback exists -- but efficiency unclear.

# Detailed SF process

how do galaxies get their gas? and how does the gas form stars?

a key ingredient to galaxy simulations and vital for our understanding of star formation

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

SF needs dense molecular gas what creates these high density regions? global dynamics vs. self-gravity vs. stochasticity?

# Where - Special Cases ULI[R]G - Merger - Dust heated by SF and/or AGN

e.g. Antennae (NGC 4038/9)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

# SF Triggering Mechanisms

Galactic Scale : Density Waves (Spiral Arms, Bars) Disk Instabilities Tidal Interaction --> Mergers Ram Pressure Stripping

+ Local Triggers: Turbulent compression (?) Expanding Shell Collapse (?)

# Bars as SF triggers

- Drive Gas to Central Kiloparsec(s)
- Cause Star Forming Rings

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Bars as SF triggers

- Drive Gas to Central Kiloparsec(s)
- Cause Star Forming Rings

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Bars as SF triggers

#### Observations: CO(1-0) in NGC 4303

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Meier & Turner 2004

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

Schinnerer et al. 2002

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Small Scale: SF Triggered by Expanding SN Shell

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

Walter et al. 1998

# Large-Scale: SF Triggered by Mergers

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Simulations by C. Mihos

# Large-Scale: SF Triggered by Mergers

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Simulations by C. Mihos

# The Star Formation 'Law'

connecting star formation to gas surface densities

this is a key ingredient to galaxy simulations

# The 'Star Formation Law'

$$\sum_{SFR} = A \sum_{gas} N$$

(going back to Schmidt 1959)

- physical insight into what drives SF
- SPH modeling of galaxy formation
- Predictive power: measure  $\sum_{gas}$  : estimate  $\sum_{SFR}$

#### Historical Note:

SMC: Neutral Hydrogen and Individually Resolved OB Stars

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

# The 'Star Formation Law'

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

...also called 'Schmidt Law', 'Schmidt-Kennicutt Law'

Y-axis:  $H\alpha + 1.1$  mag ext.corr

X-axis: CO:FCRAO (const. X<sub>CO</sub>) +HI from literature

both: divided by RC2 area

i.e., galactic average

# quick poll!

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

## SFRSD=1000 $M_{sun}$ yr<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-2</sup> !!??

Comparison to star formation rate surface density in Orion?!

 $SFRSD_{Arp220} = 1000 M_{sun} yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}$ 

A) SFRSD <sub>Orion</sub>	$= 10^{-6}$	<sup>5</sup> x SFRSD <sub>Arp220</sub>
B)	$= 10^{-1}$	<sup>3</sup> x SFRSD <sub>Arp220</sub>
C)	= 1	x SFRSD <sub>Arp220</sub>

# quick poll!

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

## SFRSD=1000 $M_{sun}$ yr<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-2</sup> !!??

Comparison to star formation rate surface density in Orion??

 $SFRSD_{Arp220} = 1000 M_{sun} yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}$ 

# quick poll!

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

SFRSD=1000 M<sub>sun</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-2</sup> !!??

Comparison to star formation rate surface density in Orion??

 $SFRSD_{Arp220}=1000~M_{sun}~yr^{-1}~kpc^{-2}$ 

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 630:167–185, 2005 September 1 © 2005. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

RADIATION PRESSURE-SUPPORTED STARBURST DISKS AND ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEUS FUELING

TODD A. THOMPSON,<sup>1,2</sup> ELIOT QUATAERT,<sup>2</sup> AND NORMAN MURRAY<sup>3,4,5</sup> Received 2005 March 1; accepted 2005 May 14

#### ABSTRACT

We consider the structure of marginally Toomre-stable starburst disks under the assumption that radiation pressure on dust grains provides the dominant vertical support against gravity. This assumption is particularly appropriate when the disk is optically thick to its own infrared radiation, as in the central regions of ULIRGs. We argue that because the disk radiates at its Eddington limit (for dust), the "Schmidt law" for star formation changes in the optically thick limit, with the star formation rate per unit area scaling as  $\dot{\Sigma}_{\star} \propto \Sigma_g/\kappa$ , where  $\Sigma_g$  is the gas surface density and  $\kappa$  is the mean opacity of the disk. Our calculations further show that optically thick starburst disks have a characteristic flux, star formation rate per unit area, and dust effective temperature of  $F \sim 10^{13} L_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ ,  $\dot{\Sigma}_{\star} \sim 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ , and  $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 90 \text{ K}$ , respectively. We compare our model predictions with observations of ULIRGs and find good agreement. We extend our model of starburst disks from many hundred parsec scales to subparsec

density and  $\kappa$  is the mean opacity of the disk. Our calculations further show that optically thick starburst disks have a characteristic flux, star formation rate per unit area, and dust effective temperature of  $F \sim 10^{13} L_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ ,  $\dot{\Sigma}_{\star} \sim 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ , and  $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 90 \text{ K}$ , respectively. We compare our model predictions with observations of ULIRGs

In the starburst disk on parsec scales can approach n ~7, perhaps accounting for the nuclear obscuration in some type 2 AGNs. We also argue that the disk of young stars in the Galactic center may be the remnant of such a compact nuclear starburst.

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Kennicutt (1998)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Kennicutt (1998)

# Dividing gas density by dynamical timescale

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

gas surface density / dynamical timescale

Kennicutt (1998)

# So far: integrated SF / gas measurements

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

# So far: integrated SF / gas measurements

With state-of-the-art data this can also be done pixel-by-pixel

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

# So far: integrated SF / gas measurements

Extract physical information for each element...

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

Local... HI Surface Density H<sub>2</sub> Surface Density Stellar Surface Density Star Formation Rate **Rotation Velocity** Gas Velocity Dispersion Stellar Velocity Dispersion Dust-to-Gas Ratio **Radiation Field** Midplane Pressure

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Star Formation and Gas

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bigiel et al. 2008/10, Leroy et al. 2008/11,

#### Star Formation and Gas

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

8/

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

Bigiel et al. 2008/10, Leroy et al. 2008/11,

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

Bigiel et al. 2008/10, Leroy et al. 2008/11,

#### Key depletion number to remember

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

'SF law' breaks down on scales of 300pc (Schruba et al. 2010)

# The Star Formation 'Law' at high redshift.

needed as recipe for star formation in galaxy evolution simulations

### IF conversion gas-stars was known...

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

... $\Omega(H2)$  can be directly inferred from  $\Omega(SFR)$ 

i.e., no need to measure molecular gas content!

But one needs to check if this assumption is correct

### Not too many high-z CO detections

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

- molecular gas observations at high-z help to constrain:
- SFR(cosmic SF history)Mgas(fuel for SF & evol. state)Mdyn(hierarchical models, M-σ)ngas, Tkin(conditions for SF)
- evidence for mergers?
  (triggering of QSO activity & SF)
- cold accretion?

### Note: molecular gas now routinely detected to z~6

Flux density (mJy

Flux

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1: The spectrum and velocity-integrated image of J2054-0005.

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 2: The spectrum and velocity-integrated image of J1044-0125.

![](_page_58_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 6: The spectrum and velocity-integrated image of J1425+3254.

![](_page_58_Figure_7.jpeg)

Figure 3: The spectrum and velocity-integrated image of J0840+5624.

![](_page_58_Figure_9.jpeg)

Figure 4: The spectrum and velocity-integrated image of J1048+4637.

![](_page_58_Figure_11.jpeg)

Figure 5: The spectrum and velocity-integrated image of J1335+3533.

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

# High-z quasars: now routinely detected in CO

(a few examples shown here)

at high z the CO lines are moved to  $\sim$  I cm (VLA)

CO: I-5 kpc size,

 $v_{co}$ =300 -500 km s<sup>-1</sup>

 $H_2$  masses: few 10<sup>10</sup>  $M_{sun}$ 

-- using low  $X_{CO}$  (otherwise  $M_{gas} > M_{dyn}$ )

![](_page_59_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

## SMGs vs. QSOs

**SMGs** QSOs CO: 2-4 kpc size, CO: I-5 kpc size, v<sub>co</sub>=400 -800 km/s v<sub>co</sub>=300 -500 km/s  $-M_{gas} = 4-7 \times 10^{10} M_{o}$  $-M_{gas} = 2 - 15 \times 10^{10} M_{o}$  $-M_{dyn} = 9-35 \times 10^{10} M_{o}$  $-M_{dyn} = 4-25 \times 10^{10} M_{o}$  $-M_{BH} = 2-30 \times 10^7 M_{o}$  (??)  $-M_{BH} = 1.5-20 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$  $\Rightarrow$  f<sub>gas</sub>=0.4-0.9  $\Rightarrow$  f<sub>gas</sub>~0.4  $\Rightarrow M_{dyn}/M_{BH}$ =20-30  $\Rightarrow M_{dvn}/M_{BH} > 1000$ 

both SMGs and QSOs are gas rich and have high gas fractions.

there is significant overlap between both populations (historical definitions)

### Example: Spatially Resolved CO in a z=1.12 Disk Galaxy

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

Tacconi et al.2009

#### Example: CO detection in 'normal' star forming galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

CO(2-1)

BzK-21000 BzK-4171 BzK-12591 BzK-16000 BzK-17999 BzK-2553( z=1.522z=1.465z = 1.600z=1.522z=1.414z=1.459

![](_page_62_Figure_4.jpeg)

M<sub>gas</sub> > 10<sup>10</sup> M<sub>o</sub> ~ high-z HyLIRG (SMG, QSO host)

But:

- SFR < 10% HyLIRG</p>
- 5 arcmin<sup>-2</sup> (vs. 0.05 for SMGs)
  - => common, 'normal' high-z galaxies

Daddi ea. 2007, 2008, 2009

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

BzKs have significantly less  $L_{IR}$  for given  $L_{CO}$ 

This plot is likely biased for high luminosities

Daddi et al. (2010)

#### The integrated high-z Star Formation Law.

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

studies have shown that the conversion factor for BzK's is much larger than for ULIRGs (Daddi et al. 2010).

As a consequence the offset seen in the earlier diagram increases

i.e. **two** sequences:

disks & starbursts

# Resulting Star Formation Efficiencies and Depletion Times at high z

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

immediate implication:

gas depletion times LONG for BzKs (sim. to spirals)

SHORT for SMGs/ QSOs

Daddi et al. (2010)

#### The high-z Star Formation Law.

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

Daddi, Elbaz, FW et al. (2010)

### Dividing by the orbital time:

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_2.jpeg)

Daddi et al. 2010, Genzel et al. 2010

Different processes lead to star formation (mergers, interactions, bar driving, spiral structure)

Need to invoke feedback both at the low and high mass end of galaxy mass function to bring observations in agreement with CDM simulations

Star formation 'law' is a molecular only law.

Depletion times at low z ~2E9 years

At high redshift ~E7 years (note: selection bias)

Need empirical description of SF process as input for numerical simulations.