UNUSUALLY LUMINOUS GIANT MOLECULAR CLOUDS IN THE OUTER DISK OF M33

F. BIGIEL¹, A. D. BOLATTO², A. K. LEROY^{3,7}, L. BLITZ¹, F. WALTER⁴, E. W. ROSOLOWSKY⁵, L. A. LOPEZ⁶, AND R. L. PLAMBECK¹ ¹Department of Astronomy, Radio Astronomy Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; bigiel@astro.berkeley.edu ²Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

³ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

⁴ Max-Planck-Institut f
ür Astronomie, K
önigstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia Okanagan, 3333 University Way, Kelowna, BC V1V 1V7, Canada

Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

Received 2010 August 24; accepted 2010 October 11; published 2010 November 23

ABSTRACT

We use high spatial resolution (\sim 7 pc) observations from the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter Wave Astronomy (CARMA) to derive detailed properties for eight giant molecular clouds (GMCs) at a galactocentric radius corresponding to approximately two CO scale lengths, or ~ 0.5 optical radii (r_{25}), in the Local Group spiral galaxy M33. At this radius, molecular gas fraction, dust-to-gas ratio, and metallicity are much lower than in the inner part of M33 or in a typical spiral galaxy. This allows us to probe the impact of environment on GMC properties by comparing our measurements to previous data from the inner disk of M33, the Milky Way, and other nearby galaxies. The outer disk clouds roughly fall on the size-linewidth relation defined by extragalactic GMCs, but are slightly displaced from the luminosity-virial mass relation in the sense of having high CO luminosity compared to the inferred virial mass. This implies a different CO-to- H_2 conversion factor, which is on average a factor of 2 lower than the inner disk and the extragalactic average. We attribute this to significantly higher measured brightness temperatures of the outer disk clouds compared to the ancillary sample of GMCs, which is likely an effect of enhanced radiation levels due to massive star formation in the vicinity of our target field. Apart from brightness temperature, the properties we determine for the outer disk GMCs in M33 do not differ significantly from those of our comparison sample. In particular, the combined sample of inner and outer disk M33 clouds covers roughly the same range in size, line width, virial mass, and CO luminosity than the sample of Milky Way GMCs. When compared to the inner disk clouds in M33, however, we find even the brightest outer disk clouds to be smaller than most of their inner disk counterparts. This may be due to incomplete sampling or a potentially steeper cloud mass function at larger radii.

Key words: galaxies: individual (M33) - galaxies: ISM - ISM: clouds

1. INTRODUCTION

Star formation in normal, non-starburst galaxies is observed to occur predominantly in giant molecular clouds (GMCs), which are gravitationally bound structures of molecular gas. In order to understand star formation in galaxies, it is thus important to gain a thorough understanding of GMC properties and how they depend on environment within galaxies. In this paper, we study the properties of GMCs in the outer disk of the local spiral galaxy M33 and study the impact of environment on GMC properties by comparing our measurements to those from the inner disk of M33 and from other local galaxies.

When GMCs were systematically observed in the Milky Way, their observable properties were found to obey a number of scaling relations (Larson laws; Larson 1981): size, line width, and CO luminosity are correlated in Galactic clouds (e.g., Solomon et al. 1987; Heyer et al. 2009). The original form of the size-linewidth relation ($\sigma \propto R^{0.5}$) in combination with the observation that molecular clouds are approximately in virial equilibrium also implies that their molecular gas surface densities are roughly constant. In the Milky Way, Solomon et al. (1987) find $\Sigma_{\rm H2} \approx 170 \, M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$ from virial mass estimates using ¹²CO emission, though recently Heyer et al. (2009) found a much lower value of $\Sigma_{\rm H2} \approx 50 \, M_{\odot} \, \rm pc^{-2}$ with a scatter of 23 $M_{\odot} \, \rm pc^{-2}$ using more optically thin ¹³CO emission and assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium. The authors of the latter study point out, however, that this value could be as high

as $\sim 120 M_{\odot} \,\mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ due to abundance variations in outer cloud envelopes.

With the advent of millimeter-wave interferometry, detailed studies of GMC properties became feasible for Local Group and relatively nearby galaxies. This includes observations in, e.g., M31 (Vogel et al. 1987), M33 (Wilson & Scoville 1990; Engargiola et al. 2003), and other nearby galaxies (see Walter et al. 2001, 2002; Bolatto et al. 2008; Blitz et al. 2007, and references therein). These studies did not find large differences regarding GMC properties compared to the Milky Way.

The currently probed range in environmental parameter space is quite limited, however. Variations of cloud properties with environment might be expected though, as these properties might depend on, e.g., metallicity, interstellar radiation field, or dust abundance (e.g., Elmegreen 1989). A few studies have addressed this issue in more extreme, in particular lower metallicity, environments and found only minor deviations (most notably in the Small Magellanic Cloud, SMC) from the previously established scaling relations (e.g., Walter et al. 2001, 2002; Leroy et al. 2006; Bolatto et al. 2008, referred to as B08 in the following).

After extending the parameter space by going to more metalpoor galaxies, one of the next logical steps is to look for varying cloud properties within the same galaxy. Deep single dish observations revealed CO emission far out in or even beyond the optical disks of galaxies (e.g., Braine & Herpin 2004; Braine et al. 2007), including the Local Group spiral M33 (Gardan et al. 2007; Braine et al. 2010; Gratier et al. 2010). These observations probe molecular gas properties in a regime where conditions in

⁷ Hubble Fellow.



Figure 1. Left: the location of the GMC complex analyzed in this paper (black rectangle; the size matches the peak intensity map in the right panel) relative to the distribution of young stars (DSS *B*-band image) in M33. The galactocentric distance of our target field corresponds to approximately two CO scale lengths (the white ellipse indicates one CO scale length or r = 2 kpc). It contains one of the furthest, large GMC complexes in the disk of M33 that is detected with high signal-to-noise in deep single dish observations by Gardan et al. (2007). Right: ¹²CO(1–0) peak intensity map from CARMA of our target field. The synthesized beam size of ~1."7 is shown in the lower left corner. CPROPS identifies eight GMCs in this field (highlighted by contours), for which, at ~7 pc resolution and with peak signal-to-noise ratios \gtrsim 10, we can derive accurate cloud properties. The number next to each cloud identifies these in Table 1, where we present the derived properties.

the interstellar medium (ISM) and thus GMC properties are expected to change, because of, e.g., changing metallicities or dust abundance. The proximity of M33 ($D \approx 840$ kpc; Galleti et al. 2004) and the availability of comprehensive, homogeneous measurements, together with consistently determined GMC properties across the central star-forming disk (Rosolowsky et al. 2003, 2007), make this galaxy an ideal target for high resolution CO observations to probe GMC properties in the outer disk.

In this paper, we present CO observations from the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter Wave Astronomy (CARMA) of eight GMCs. The galactocentric distance of these clouds corresponds to approximately two scale lengths of the molecular gas, i.e., the length over which the azimuthally averaged CO emission declines by a factor e^2 , or $\sim 0.5r_{25}$, where r_{25} is the isophotal radius corresponding to 25 B-band magnitudes per square arcsecond. Figure 1 illustrates the location of our targeted field and shows the CO peak intensity map we obtain. We introduce our observations, which we use to measure cloud properties for eight GMCs, in Section 2 and discuss the expected environmental variations (molecular gas fraction, dust-to-gas ratio, metallicity) within M33 with respect to our target field in Section 3. We compare our measurements to those from the inner disk of M33, other nearby galaxies, and the Milky Way in Section 4.

2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Our CARMA observations were targeted toward one of the outermost detected molecular gas complexes from the single dish observations of Gardan et al. (2007, see Figure 1). We note that recently Braine et al. (2010) have obtained deeper single dish data and detected CO emission even further out in the disk of M33. We observed the target position in the CO(1–0) transition using a 19-point mosaic in D configuration between 2008 July and August and in C configuration between 2009 October and November under mostly good 3 mm weather conditions. The total observing time was ~37 hr and ~30 hr in D and C configurations, respectively. The synthesized beam size is ~1.7, corresponding to ~7 pc at the distance of M33.

We tuned the 3 mm receivers to the Doppler-shifted CO(1–0) rest frequency in the upper sideband and placed two ~31 MHz wide bands across the line. This yields an effective bandwidth of ~155 km s⁻¹ and a velocity resolution $\delta v_{channel} = 1.27$ km s⁻¹. For phase and amplitude calibration, 0205 + 322 was observed every 20 minutes. Fluxes were bootstrapped from Uranus, Neptune or MWC349, and 3C454.3 or 3C84 were observed for bandpass calibration. Radio and optical pointing was performed every 2 hr. We estimate the resulting calibration to be accurate within ~15%. Data reduction was performed in MIRIAD. Figure 1 shows the target field with respect to the young stars (left panel) and the CO(1–0) peak intensity map from our observations (right panel).

We identify GMCs and measure their properties using the CPROPS package (Rosolowsky & Leroy 2006). We define GMCs as contiguous regions of high signal-to-noise emission. No further decomposition appears necessary in this data set. CPROPS uses moment methods to measure radius, line width, luminosity, and peak temperature for each cloud. It estimates the associated uncertainties using boostrapping techniques and attempts to correct each measurement for biases due to finite sensitivity and limited spectral and angular resolution. A key point for this study is that B08 have used exactly the same approach to measure GMC properties from a large sample of nearby galaxies, including the inner disk of M33. This gives us a well-controlled point of comparison.

Table 1 lists the derived properties for the eight GMCs in our field. We note that more faint CO features are visible in Figure 1, but the signal-to-noise ratio in these features is too low for reliable cloud property estimates.

3. ENVIRONMENT

Previous interferometric observations of individual GMCs in M33 focused on GMC properties in the inner disk⁸ of M33 (e.g., Engargiola et al. 2003; Rosolowsky et al. 2003, 2007).

⁸ We compare our measurements to the M33 GMC sample from Bolatto et al. (2008), which has a median galactocentric distance of less than one CO scale length.

| Number ^a | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $\frac{v_{\rm lsr}}{({\rm km~s^{-1}})}$ | R ^b (pc) | σ^{b} (km s ⁻¹) | $\frac{M_{\rm lum}{}^{\rm b}}{(10^3 M_{\odot})}$ | $M_{\rm vir}^{\rm b}$ $(10^3 M_{\odot})$ | T_B^c (K) | $\frac{\Sigma_{\rm GMC}{}^{\rm d}}{(M_{\odot}{\rm pc}^{-2})}$ |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------|---|
| 1 | 01 33 59.0 | 30 55 32.5 | -254.6 | 10.1 ± 1.9 | 1.6 ± 0.5 | 47 ± 4 | 27 ± 18 | 10.4 ± 0.6 | 84 ± 64 |
| 2 | 01 34 01.9 | 30 54 34.2 | -257.4 | 18.3 ± 1.4 | 1.6 ± 0.3 | 105 ± 7 | 46 ± 20 | 8.7 ± 0.6 | 44 ± 20 |
| 3 | 01 34 02.7 | 30 53 48.3 | -258.1 | 12.3 ± 1.4 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 76 ± 9 | 30 ± 14 | 11.0 ± 0.6 | 64 ± 32 |
| 4 | 01 34 03.0 | 30 55 05.9 | -256.5 | 14.8 ± 2.1 | 2.1 ± 0.5 | 62 ± 9 | 70 ± 39 | 6.5 ± 0.6 | 102 ± 64 |
| 5 | 01 34 04.2 | 30 55 06.5 | -256.8 | 22.9 ± 1.6 | 2.0 ± 0.3 | $233~\pm~9$ | 91 ± 29 | 9.9 ± 0.6 | 55 ± 19 |
| 6 | 01 34 04.2 | 30 55 19.3 | -256.6 | 13.4 ± 1.8 | 2.7 ± 0.5 | 60 ± 8 | 104 ± 48 | 5.7 ± 0.6 | 185 ± 100 |
| 7 | 01 34 04.7 | 30 54 26.4 | -255.2 | 16.1 ± 1.3 | $2.3~\pm~0.4$ | 91 ± 9 | 90 ± 36 | $6.1~\pm~0.6$ | 111 ± 47 |
| 8 | 01 34 04.9 | 30 54 39.2 | -265.2 | 17.0 ± 1.5 | 0.9 ± 0.1 | $84~\pm~5$ | 14 ± 2 | 7.0 ± 0.6 | 15 ± 4 |

Table 1GMC Properties

Notes.

^a The numbers refer to the individual clouds in the right panel of Figure 1.

^b Uncertainties are estimated from bootstrapping in CPROPS.

^c The uncertainty on T_B is estimated from the rms scatter of the noise in the data cube.

 $d \Sigma_{GMC}$ is derived from the virial masses and radii in this table. Uncertainties are estimated from error propagation.

In the following, we assess the distinguishing characteristics of the ISM at larger radii in M33 and how this may impact GMC properties.

Numerous studies have compared the radial behavior of atomic gas, molecular gas, and various star formation tracers in M33 (e.g., Engargiola et al. 2003; Corbelli 2003; Heyer et al. 2004; Gardan et al. 2007). While the H I is found to remain relatively constant across the disk of M33, these studies derive a range of scale lengths between ~1.4 and 2.5 kpc for the CO emission. We note that we adopt a value of 2 kpc for the CO scale length throughout this paper. From the different behavior of H I and CO emission, it is clear that the molecular gas fraction $\Sigma_{H_2}/\Sigma_{H_1}$ decreases as a function of radius. Most recently, Gratier et al. (2010) measured this fraction and find that while the gas is mostly molecular in the center, the molecular gas fraction decreases to only ~10%–20% at our target position at $r \approx 4$ kpc (adjusted to our adopted Galactic CO-to-H₂ conversion factor of $X_{CO} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻² (K km s⁻¹)⁻¹).

The stellar surface density, Σ_{star} , in M33 is low compared to other, larger spirals (e.g., Braine et al. 2010). As Σ_{star} is important for the hydrostatic gas pressure, which was found to correlate well with the molecular gas fraction in nearby galaxies (e.g., Wong & Blitz 2002), this may be an explanation for the unusually low H₂ fraction. Another factor that may impact the H₂ fraction is the amount of dust in the ISM, as dust grains serve as sites of H₂ formation and shield the molecular hydrogen from photodissociation. Gratier et al. (2010) derive 24, 70, and 160 μ m scale lengths of ~1.4, ~1.5, and ~1.8 kpc, respectively, all of which are quite similar to the CO scale length in M33. In combination with the flat HI profile, this indicates that (1) the dust-to-gas ratio is significantly lower ($\sim 25\%$) at $r \approx 4$ kpc compared to the inner disk and (2) the dust-to-gas ratio may play an important role in setting the molecular gas fraction.

Because the dust-to-gas ratio also scales with metallicity, one might also expect a lower metallicity in our target region. Even though earlier measurements suggested a quite significant metallicity gradient across M33 (up to ~ -0.1 dex kpc⁻¹; Vilchez et al. 1988; Garnett et al. 1997), recent measurements provide evidence for a much shallower gradient of ≤ -0.03 dex kpc⁻¹ (Crockett et al. 2006; Rosolowsky & Simon 2008). Compared to the center, this implies a metallicity that is lower by ~30% at $r \approx 4$ kpc, i.e., $Z \approx 8.24$, adopting a central value of 8.36 from Rosolowsky & Simon (2008). This metallicity corresponds to only ~30% of the Galactic average.

A lower metallicity, i.e., lower carbon and oxygen abundance, and dust-to-gas ratio in the ISM are likely to affect GMC properties, because they lead to lower H₂ formation rates and less effective shielding from UV radiation (e.g., McKee 1989; Elmegreen 1989; Maloney & Black 1988). Thus, one might expect GMCs to have higher (surface) densities in such environments and possibly to consist of smaller CO-bright cores embedded in CO-dark H₂ envelopes, leading to a higher COto-H₂ conversion factor (e.g., Madden et al. 1997; Leroy et al. 2007; Elmegreen 1989). Our new measurements in combination with ancillary data allow us to test these expectations in M33 and to extend such studies into a barely probed regime of ISM parameter space.

4. GMC PROPERTIES AND COMPARISON TO OTHER MEASUREMENTS

Figure 2 shows spectra for the eight identified GMCs. These clouds have relatively narrow spectra, and the derived line widths are notably smaller than those from clouds from the inner disk of M33 (see discussion of Figure 4 below). This agrees qualitatively with the finding of Braine et al. (2010), though their spatial resolution is much lower, which may lead to overestimating line widths by averaging over multiple clouds. Most of our clouds have peak flux densities between \sim 1 and 3 Jy, with the exception of cloud 5 (\sim 5 Jy), which is the biggest and most massive GMC in our sample (though note the similarly small inferred velocity dispersion of \sim 2 km s⁻¹ compared to the other clouds in Table 1).

B08 have assembled and partly re-analyzed CO observations of three disk galaxies (the Milky Way and the Local Group spirals M31 and M33) and 11 Local Group and nearby dwarf galaxies. Because in this paper we follow the same methodology, we can directly compare the properties of the B08 compilation of GMCs to our outer disk GMCs in M33. Comparing the peak brightness temperatures, we find a mean value for the new GMCs that is significantly higher than in the B08 ensemble: $T_{B,\text{new}} = 8.2 \text{ K}$ with a 1σ rms scatter of 3.3 K and $T_{B,B08} = 2.3$ K with a scatter of 1.2 K. For M33 specifically, all of the outer disk clouds have higher T_B than all but one of the inner disk clouds. T_B depends mainly on the beam filling fraction and the excitation temperature (it also depends on optical depth, but the ${}^{12}CO(1-0)$ emission is most likely optically thick in all cases). In B08, typical brightness temperatures within individual galaxies rarely exceed 3.5 K, even for cases where GMCs were



Figure 2. Spectra of integrated emission within the isophotal contours in the right panel of Figure 1 for each cloud in each channel. All clouds are clearly detected in several channels. The cloud numbers correspond to the numbers in Table 1, which lists the derived cloud properties.

observed at high spatial resolution (comparable to this study) so that GMCs were comfortably resolved. This also includes the previous, inner disk M33 observations. At least for these higher resolution data, the equally high beam filling fractions $\gtrsim 1$ argue for higher kinetic temperatures in the molecular gas (under the assumption of uniform brightness distributions within GMCs) to explain the higher peak brightness temperatures we measure. A possible explanation might be the potentially elevated radiation field due to massive star formation in our target field.

We illustrate this in Figure 3, where we show 24 μ m (red), far UV (blue), and H α emission (Hoopes & Walterbos 2000, green) in our target region. Overlaid are the CO integrated intensity contours in cyan and our full sensitivity field of view (white line). From this figure, it becomes clear that our GMCs are in the immediate vicinity of several star-forming regions. In particular, the two clouds with the highest peak brightness temperatures, 1 and 3, are directly associated with H α and 24 μ m sources. The combination of presumably low dust-to-gas ratios, low gas columns, and intense star formation supports our interpretation that the molecular gas is heated to high temperatures, leading to the unusually high peak brightness temperatures we measure.

In Figure 4, we analyze scaling relations for our GMCs: we plot the size–linewidth relation in the left panel and the relation between luminosity and virial mass in the right panel. In both panels, the dashed line shows the best fit to the cloud ensemble from B08 and the gray points represent GMCs from the inner disk of M33 from Rosolowsky et al. (2003), but as re-analyzed in B08 using CPROPS. We also add clouds from the SMC (triangles), the most metal-poor system in the B08 sample ($Z_{SMC} \approx 0.2 Z_{MW}$). Black crosses represent Milky Way GMCs from Heyer et al. (2009), who re-analyzed the Solomon et al. (1987) sample, which includes a number of small clouds quite similar in size to our sample. We reiterate that they use a somewhat different methodology and a different tracer (¹³CO emission) compared to the other studies (see Section 1). The black points show the new M33 outer disk GMCs from



Figure 3. Comparison of 24 μ m (red), far UV (blue), and H α emission (green) in our target region. The full sensitivity field of view is indicated with a white line, and integrated intensity contours of our CO observations are shown in cyan. The contours run from 0.25 to 1.2 Jy beam⁻¹ km s⁻¹ in steps of 0.2 Jy beam⁻¹ km s⁻¹. The size of the synthesized beam is shown in the lower left corner. The cloud numbers are identical to those in Figure 1 and refer to the cloud properties in Table 1. The figure shows several regions of intense 24 μ m and H α emission (both indicating current star formation) in the immediate vicinity of the detected GMCs.

Table 1. The error bars show estimates of the uncertainties from bootstrapping in CPROPS.

The left panel shows that (1) the new GMCs roughly fall on the B08 fit, but that (2) the data points are offset compared to the majority of inner disk clouds in M33. Due to limited sensitivity and resolution in the extragalactic measurements,



Figure 4. Size–linewidth (left panel) and CO luminosity–virial mass (right panel) plots for the new M33 clouds (black points, this study) as well as for a number of other data sets for comparison: inner disk M33 (gray points, Rosolowsky et al. 2003; B08) and SMC clouds (triangles, B08), Milky Way GMCs (black crosses, Solomon et al. 1987; Heyer et al. 2009; B08) and a fit to an ensemble of extragalactic GMCs (dashed line, B08). The new clouds extend the distribution of the previously known clouds in the inner disk of M33 toward smaller sizes and line widths as well as toward smaller virial masses and lower CO luminosities. The combined M33 inner and outer disks sample covers about the same phase space in both plots as the Milky Way clouds.

however, small GMCs are not always readily observable. For the Milky Way, however, where observations do have sufficient resolution and sensitivity, the GMCs overlap the combined inner and outer disk M33 points, indicating that the outer disk GMCs do not show dramatically different properties compared to what has been measured in the Galaxy. Nonetheless, most of the clouds we observe at $\sim 0.5 r_{25}$ are smaller and tend to show lower velocity dispersions than most of the clouds in the inner disk of M33. This might hint at either a steeper cloud mass function or incomplete sampling of the latter.

We compute surface densities for our sample via $M_{\rm vir}(\pi r^2)^{-1}$ and derive a mean surface density of $82 M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$ with a 1σ rms scatter of $43 M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$. This value is well within the range of values quoted in the literature, $50 M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2} \lesssim \Sigma_{\rm H2} \lesssim$ $170 M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$, including Galactic clouds (see Section 1) or the extragalactic sample in B08. Thus, we find quite typical surface densities rather than much larger values as might have been expected (Section 3).

The CO luminosity–virial mass plot in the right panel shows that the outer disk M33 GMCs seem to be an extension of the distribution of inner disk clouds toward lower CO luminosities and virial masses. As in the left panel, the combined M33 sample overlaps the Milky Way ensemble, indicating a similar range of virial and luminous GMC masses in both spiral galaxies. The new M33 clouds appear to fall slightly below the ensemble fit of B08, however. Because the normalization (intercept) of the power-law fit relating virial mass to CO luminosity yields the CO-to-H₂ conversion factor, α_{CO} , the offset of our data points from the B08 fit implies a different average α_{CO} (assuming identical power-law slopes).

In order to assess this quantitatively, we compute α_{CO} from the virial and luminous masses for each of the inner and outer disk clouds in M33 and find mean values of $6.8 \pm$ $0.8 M_{\odot}$ (K km s⁻¹ pc²)⁻¹ and $3.3 \pm 0.8 M_{\odot}$ (K km s⁻¹ pc²)⁻¹, respectively (the quoted errors represent the 1 σ uncertainty in the mean). B08 derive $7.6^{+3.9}_{-2.6} M_{\odot}$ (K km s⁻¹ pc²)⁻¹ for the extragalactic ensemble (the errors represent the scatter in the data), which is in good agreement with the M33 inner disk value. We test the significance of the difference between the M33 inner and outer disk means with a Student's *t*-test, which yields a probability of $\sim 1\%$ for the null hypothesis that both means are equal. This implies that the average CO-to-H₂ conversion factor for the outer disk GMCs is in fact smaller (by about a factor of 2) than the extragalactic and the M33 inner disk average. This finding is in contrast to the expectations based on environmental conditions, which suggested a somewhat higher conversion factor (Section 3).

One factor that could influence α_{CO} (and is particularly relevant in outer disks) is a decreasing fraction of CO emitting H₂ in the more dust- and metal-poor environment at larger radii (see Section 3). We therefore compare our measurements to complementary α_{CO} estimates in M33 from Leroy et al. (2010). They estimate α_{CO} from dust modeling (thus tracing the entire H₂ distribution under the assumption that gas and dust are well mixed) and find values of ~6.3 M_{\odot} (K km s⁻¹ pc²)⁻¹ and ~4.7 M_{\odot} (K km s⁻¹ pc²)⁻¹ for the inner and outer parts of M33, respectively. These numbers are in good agreement with our virial mass estimates, which argues against a significant amount of CO-dark H₂ at large radii. We note that because the Leroy et al. (2010) values are averages over a large area, the application of these values to our specific region does not rule out conclusively unaccounted for H₂.

Taken at face value, however, the lower average conversion factor we measure for the outer disk clouds could be interpreted as a higher fractional CO abundance, i.e., more "CO per H₂." This is highly unlikely, however, given the much lower dust-to-gas ratios and metallicities at larger radii in M33 (see Section 3). On the other hand, α_{CO} scales inversely with the brightness temperature: $\alpha_{CO} \propto T_B^{-1}$ (Dickman et al. 1986; Maloney & Black 1988). Thus, the more likely explanation seems to be the systematically higher T_B for the outer disk GMCs, which we interpreted above as higher kinetic gas temperatures due to elevated radiation levels from nearby star formation. The higher temperatures could lead to higher excitation of the CO molecules (e.g., Weiß et al. 2001), thus lowering the measured α_{CO} .

With the resolution and sensitivity of CARMA, we are able to measure the properties of eight GMCs in the heavily H_I-dominated outer part of M33. Despite an environment very distinct from a normal spiral galaxy (low molecular gas

L73

fraction, stellar surface density, and dust-to-gas ratio), the clouds we observe show generally similar properties compared to GMCs in the Milky Way or other nearby galaxies. The main difference is that the gas appears to be hotter, with brightness temperatures between ~ 6 and 11 K, which is likely to be the responsible mechanism for a lower inferred CO-to-H₂ conversion factor. This difference appears mostly attributable to heating by massive star formation coincident or adjacent to the GMCs.

F.B. acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-0838258. A.B. acknowledges partial support from NSF grant AST-0838178. Support for A.L. was provided by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF-51258.01-A awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS 5-26555. E.R. is supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC of Cananda. Support for CARMA construction was derived from the states of California, Illinois, and Maryland, the James S. McDonnell Foundation, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, the Kenneth T. and Eileen L. Norris Foundation, the University of Chicago, the Associates of the California Institute of Technology, and the National Science Foundation. Ongoing CARMA development and operations are supported by the National Science Foundation under a cooperative agreement, and by the CARMA partner universities. We have made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System (ADS).

REFERENCES

- Blitz, L., Fukui, Y., Kawamura, A., Leroy, A., Mizuno, N., & Rosolowsky, E. 2007, in Protostars and Planets V, ed. B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, & K. Keil (Tucson, AZ: Univ. of Arizona Press), 81
- Bolatto, A. D., Leroy, A. K., Rosolowsky, E., Walter, F., & Blitz, L. 2008, ApJ, 686, 948

- Braine, J., Gratier, P., Kramer, C., Schuster, K. F., Tabatabaei, F., & Gardan, E. 2010, A&A, 520, A107
- Braine, J., & Herpin, F. 2004, Nature, 432, 369
- Corbelli, E. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 199
- Crockett, N. R., Garnett, D. R., Massey, P., & Jacoby, G. 2006, ApJ, 637, 741
- Dickman, R. L., Snell, R. L., & Schloerb, F. P. 1986, ApJ, 309, 326
- Elmegreen, B. G. 1989, ApJ, 338, 178
- Engargiola, G., Plambeck, R. L., Rosolowsky, E., & Blitz, L. 2003, ApJS, 149, 343
- Galleti, S., Bellazzini, M., & Ferraro, F. R. 2004, A&A, 423, 925
- Gardan, E., Braine, J., Schuster, K. F., Brouillet, N., & Sievers, A. 2007, A&A, 473, 91
- Garnett, D. R., Shields, G. A., Skillman, E. D., Sagan, S. P., & Dufour, R. J. 1997, ApJ, 489, 63
- Gratier, P., et al. 2010, A&A, in press (arXiv:1003.3222)
- Heyer, M. H., Corbelli, E., Schneider, S. E., & Young, J. S. 2004, ApJ, 602, 723
- Heyer, M., Krawczyk, C., Duval, J., & Jackson, J. M. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1092
- Hoopes, C. G., & Walterbos, R. A. M. 2000, ApJ, 541, 597
- Larson, R. B. 1981, MNRAS, 194, 809
- Leroy, A., Bolatto, A., Stanimirovic, S., Mizuno, N., Israel, F., & Bot, C. 2007, ApJ, 658, 1027
- Leroy, A., Bolatto, A., Walter, F., & Blitz, L. 2006, ApJ, 643, 825
- Leroy, A., et al. ApJ, submitted
- Madden, S. C., Poglitsch, A., Geis, N., Stacey, G. J., & Townes, C. H. 1997, ApJ, 483, 200
- Maloney, P., & Black, J. H. 1988, ApJ, 325, 389
- McKee, C. F. 1989, ApJ, 345, 782
- Rosolowsky, E., Engargiola, G., Plambeck, R., & Blitz, L. 2003, ApJ, 599, 258
- Rosolowsky, E., Keto, E., Matsushita, S., & Willner, S. P. 2007, ApJ, 661, 830
- Rosolowsky, E., & Leroy, A. 2006, PASP, 118, 590
- Rosolowsky, E., & Simon, J. D. 2008, ApJ, 675, 1213
- Solomon, P. M., Rivolo, A. R., Barrett, J., & Yahil, A. 1987, ApJ, 319, 730
- Vilchez, J. M., Pagel, B. E. J., Diaz, A. I., Terlevich, E., & Edmunds, M. G. 1988, MNRAS, 235, 633
- Vogel, S. N., Boulanger, F., & Ball, R. 1987, ApJ, 321, L145
- Walter, F., Taylor, C. L., Hüttemeister, S., Scoville, N., & McIntyre, V. 2001, AJ, 121, 727
- Walter, F., Weiss, A., Martin, C., & Scoville, N. 2002, AJ, 123, 225
- Weiß, A., Neininger, N., Hüttemeister, S., & Klein, U. 2001, A&A, 365, 571
- Wilson, C. D., & Scoville, N. 1990, ApJ, 363, 435
- Wong, T., & Blitz, L. 2002, ApJ, 569, 157