# Icarus 217 (2012) 322-338

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Icarus

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/icarus



# The three-dimensional structure of Saturn's E ring

M.M. Hedman<sup>a,\*</sup>, J.A. Burns<sup>a,b</sup>, D.P. Hamilton<sup>c</sup>, M.R. Showalter<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

<sup>c</sup> Astronomy Department, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

<sup>d</sup> SETI Institute, 189 Bernardo Dr., Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 April 2011 Revised 31 October 2011 Accepted 2 November 2011 Available online 19 November 2011

*Keywords:* Planetary rings Saturn, Rings Disks

# ABSTRACT

Saturn's diffuse E ring consists of many tiny (micron and sub-micron) grains of water ice distributed between the orbits of Mimas and Titan. Various gravitational and non-gravitational forces perturb these particles' orbits, causing the ring's local particle density to vary noticeably with distance from the planet, height above the ring-plane, hour angle and time. Using remote-sensing data obtained by the Cassini spacecraft in 2005 and 2006, we investigate the E-ring's three-dimensional structure during a time when the Sun illuminated the rings from the south at high elevation angles (>15°). These observations show that the ring's vertical thickness grows with distance from Enceladus' orbit and its peak brightness density shifts from south to north of Saturn's equator plane with increasing distance from the planet. These data also reveal a localized depletion in particle density near Saturn's equatorial plane around Enceladus' semi-major axis. Finally, variations are detected in the radial brightness profile and the vertical thickness of the ring as a function of longitude relative to the Sun. Possible physical mechanisms and processes that may be responsible for some of these structures include solar radiation pressure, variations in the ambient plasma, and electromagnetic perturbations associated with Saturn's shadow.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Saturn's E ring is a tenuous and diffuse ring extending from the orbit of Mimas out to at least as far as the orbit of Titan (Srama et al., 2006; Kempf et al., 2006). Prior to Cassini's arrival at Saturn, analyses of Voyager and early ground-based images had clearly demonstrated that the ring is composed primarily of extremely small ( $<5 \mu m$ ) grains and that its vertically-integrated brightness peaks near Enceladus' orbit (Showalter et al., 1991). Later Earthbased images also indicated that the ring's vertical thickness increases with distance from the planet exterior to Enceladus' orbit (Showalter et al., 1991; Nicholson et al., 1996; de Pater et al., 2004). These early data, reviewed by Burns et al. (2001), already pointed towards Enceladus as the source of the E ring and the structure of this ring was used to explore the production, transport and loss of circumplanetary dust grains (Horányi et al., 1992; Hamilton, 1993a; Hamilton and Burns, 1994; Juhász and Horányi, 2002, 2004; Juhász et al., 2007; Horányi et al., 2008).

Data from the Cassini Mission have spectacularly confirmed that Enceladus is indeed the E-ring's source, revealing a series of vents near the moon's south pole that are launching plumes of tiny ice

E-mail address: mmhedman@astro.cornell.edu (M.M. Hedman).

grains into orbit around Saturn (see Spencer et al. (2009) and references therein). However, the various remote-sensing and in situ measurements onboard Cassini have also provided substantial new information about the structure of the E ring itself (see Horányi et al. (2009) for a recent review). These data promise to provide many new insights into the dynamics of this ring, as well as how the E-ring particles interact with Saturn's moons and magnetospheric environment (Schenk et al., 2001; Verbiscer et al., 2007).

The currently published analyses of the Cassini E-ring observations mostly utilize in situ data, and have revealed several interesting additional structures. Data from the plasma wave antennas show a depletion in the local particle density near Saturn's equatorial plane in the E-ring's core (Kurth et al., 2006). The dust detector onboard the spacecraft has not clearly seen this feature, but has confirmed that the vertical thickness of the ring increases exterior to Enceladus' orbit (Kempf et al., 2008). Furthermore, data from this instrument indicate that the ring's vertical thickness also increases interior to Enceladus' orbit, and that the peak particle density of the inner parts of the E ring occurs significantly south of Saturn's equatorial plane (Kempf et al., 2008).

The extensive remote-sensing observations of the rings obtained by Cassini not only confirm the existence of the abovementioned ring features, but also yield new insights into the ring's three-dimensional structure. In particular, edge-on images provide a more global view of the ring's vertical structure, and various



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Address: 322 Space Sciences Building, Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

<sup>0019-1035/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\circledcirc$  2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2011.11.006

observations reveal significant azimuthal asymmetries in the ring. Some of these features can be understood in the context of existing models, while others are unexpected and require further investigation.

Rather than attempt to provide a complete assessment of all the available remote-sensing data for the E ring, this paper will focus on selected observations that provide the best global views of the E-ring's large-scale structure. These observations were all made in 2005 and 2006, and thus provide a snapshot of the ring's structure at a particular point in time when the Sun illuminated the rings from the south at a fairly large elevation angle (19.8–15.8°), and the planet's shadow only reached as far as 213,000 km in Saturn's equator plane and thus did not extend much beyond the inner edge of the E ring. Studies of the ring's time variability will therefore be the subject of a future work. Furthermore, by focusing on the data that best document the E-ring's morphology, we also defer any detailed analysis of spectral or photometric constraints on the ring's particle size distribution to a separate report (and see Ingersoll and Ewald (2011) for a preliminary photometric analysis of certain high-phase Cassini images). However, since non-gravitational, size-dependent forces play an important role in shaping the E ring, we will have to consider the typical particle sizes observed in the relevant images.

We begin by discussing the imaging data used in this analysis and how it has been processed. We then describe a series of high signal-to-noise observations of the edge-on E ring and use those data to parametrize the ring's vertical structure. Next, we demonstrate that the global structure of the ring does not vary much with longitude relative to Enceladus, but does change significantly with longitude relative to the Sun. Using multiple data sets, we identify asymmetries in both the ring's brightness and its vertical thickness. Finally, we discuss some dynamical implications of these various observations, and the challenges they pose for future theoretical modeling efforts.

# 2. Observations

While various remote-sensing instruments onboard Cassini have obtained extensive data on the E ring, our investigation of the E-ring's global structure will focus exclusively on data obtained by the cameras of the Imaging Science Subsystem (Porco et al., 2004), which provide the clearest pictures of the ring's morphology. Furthermore, only data from observations that are particularly informative regarding the global structure of the ring will be used in this analysis. These include:

- Multiple series of nearly edge-on images of the E ring obtained during Revs 17–23 ("Rev" designates a Cassini orbit around Saturn) that were obtained in late 2005 through the middle of 2006. These observations are particularly useful for determining the vertical structure of the ring.
- A sequence of narrow-angle camera images taken along the boundary of Saturn's shadow on the ring obtained during Rev 28 in late 2006. This sequence provides information about azimuthal asymmetries in the ring.
- The spectacular wide-angle camera mosaic of the Saturn system obtained when Cassini flew through Saturn's shadow during Rev 28 in September 2006. During this uniquely distant eclipse, Cassini was able to image the rings at exceptionally high phase angles. This final observation provides the highest signal-tonoise measurements of the E ring and provides the most comprehensive view of the azimuthal variations in the ring.

All these observations are from a relatively restricted time period (2005–2006). This is partially due to distribution of the

available observations, but it also allows us to develop a model of the E-ring's structure at one particular point in time when the solar elevation angle was fairly large.

These remote-sensing observations only provide information about the particles that are sufficiently large to efficiently scatter light from the Sun into the camera. For light of a given wavelength  $\lambda$ , the scattering cross-section  $\sigma$  of a given particle depends on the particle's size (radius) s. In the large-particle (geometrical optics) limit  $\sigma \propto s^2$ , while in the small-particle (Rayleigh scattering) limit,  $\sigma \propto s^6$ , and the transition between these two limiting trends occurs where *s* ~  $\lambda$  (van de Hulst, 1957). Spectral and photometric studies of the E ring (Showalter et al., 1991; de Pater et al., 2004; Ingersoll and Ewald, 2011) and in situ measurements by the RPWS instrument (Kurth et al., 2006) and the High-Rate Detector (HRD) on the Cassini Dust Analyzer (Kempf et al., 2008) indicate that the E-ring's particle size distribution is steeper than  $s^{-4}$  for particles with s > 1 um. For such a steep size distribution, the particles with  $s \simeq \lambda$  (i.e. the most common relatively efficient scatterers) will make the biggest contribution to the light scattered by the ring. The above-mentioned images were made at wavelengths around 0.63 µm (0.42–0.97 µm for the high-phase mosaic), so the rings' appearance should be dominated by particles with radii between about half a micron and a micron. Indeed, several of the observed structures in the ring can best be explained if the typical observable ring particles are in this size range (see below).

The above images and the published in situ measurements probe overlapping but different parts of the E-ring's particle size distribution. Specifically, the range of particle sizes observed by the remote-sensing data extends below the  $s > 0.9 \,\mu m$  limit for the published HRD data (Kempf et al., 2008). The dynamics of Ering particles can vary dramatically with particle size, especially around 1 µm (Horányi et al., 1992; Hamilton and Burns, 1994; Juhász and Horányi, 2004; Juhász et al., 2007; Horányi et al., 2008), so the sensitivity of the imaging data to sub-micron grains complicates comparisons between the remote-sensing and in situ observations. The contribution of sub-micron grains to the observed ring brightness is uncertain because the particle size distribution on these scales is not vet well constrained in the E ring. For the Enceladus plume, measurements of the plasma environment by Cassini's Langmuir Probe suggest that the steep size distribution observed by RPWS and the HRD may extend through the sub-micron range (Wahlund et al., 2009; Yaroshenko et al., 2009; Shafiq et al., 2011), and the CAPS instrument has even detected a significant number of nanometer-scale grains within the plume (Jones et al., 2009). However, the size distribution in the E ring will differ from that of the plume on account of the larger particles re-impacting onto Enceladus (Hedman et al., 2009; Kempf et al., 2010), and the smaller particles being rapidly eroded by sputtering from charged particle impacts or lost by other dynamical mechanisms (Burns et al., 2001; Juhász et al., 2007). Future analyses of in situ and remote sensing data will likely provide better constraints on the sub-micron particle size distributions, but for now we will simply urge the reader to not over-interpret the discrepancies between these remote-sensing observations and other data sets.

#### 3. Imaging data reduction

All the relevant images were calibrated using the standard CIS-SCAL routines (Porco et al., 2004) to remove instrumental backgrounds, apply flatfields and convert the raw data numbers to I/F, a standardized measure of reflectance that is unity for a Lambertian surface at normal incidence and emission. The images are geometrically navigated using the appropriate SPICE kernels and this geometry was refined based on the position of stars in the field of view. For the images taken at sufficiently high ring-opening angles, this improved geometry could be used to determine the Ering's projected brightness as a function of radius and longitude in Saturn's equatorial plane. By contrast, the interpretation of the nearly edge-on views of the rings required additional data reduction.

The most natural coordinate system to use with edge-on images are the cylindrical coordinates  $\rho$ ,  $\theta$  and z, where z is the distance from Saturn's equatorial plane (positive being defined as northwards),  $\theta$  is an azimuthal coordinate, and  $\rho$  is the distance from the planet's spin axis. For any given image, we can define a  $\rho - z$ plane passing through Saturn's center that is most perpendicular to the camera's line of sight,<sup>1</sup> and then re-project the brightness measurements onto a regular grid of  $\rho$  and z values. We have chosen to produce maps of the edge-on rings with resolutions of 500 km in  $\rho$  and 100 km in z in order to facilitate subsequent processing. For example, maps derived from different images can be easily combined to produce larger-scale mosaic maps of the rings or to improve the signal-to-noise on the ring (e.g., stars and moons in the images can be effectively removed from the maps using a median filter prior to producing the combined map).

The E-ring's optical depth is so low that all the particles within the camera's field of view are visible, hence the maps derived from edge-on images represent the integrated brightness through the ring along the line of sight. Since the outer parts of the ring appear superimposed on the inner parts, interpreting the observed brightness measurements is not straightforward. Fortunately, we can remove these complicating projection effects using a deconvolution algorithm known as "onion-peeling" (Showalter, 1985; Showalter et al., 1987). Such algorithms have been used previously to convert vertically-integrated brightness profiles of the edge-on E ring into estimates of the ring's normal *I/F* as a function of radius (de Pater et al., 2004). However, our edge-on maps of the E ring have sufficient signal-to-noise that we can, for the first time, apply the algorithm directly to the two-dimensional maps themselves.

Applying an onion-peeling algorithm directly to *I*/*F* data yields a novel photometric quantity that has a similar relationship to the projected *I*/*F* as the local particle number density has with optical depth. For a sufficiently low optical-depth ring consisting of spherical particles of size *s*, the observed optical depth  $\tau$  is given by the following integral:

$$\tau = \int \pi s^2 N(l) \, dl,\tag{1}$$

where *l* is the distance along the line of sight and *N*(*l*) is the local particle number density in the ring. If these particles have an albedo *w* and a phase function  $P(\alpha)$ , then the ring's observed *I*/*F* is simply:

$$I/F = \frac{1}{4}wP(\alpha)\tau = \int \frac{1}{4}wP(\alpha)\pi s^2 N(l) dl.$$
 (2)

More realistically, the particles in the ring will have a range of sizes s, and a corresponding range of cross-sections  $\sigma(s)$ , phase functions  $P(\alpha, s)$  and albedos w(s). Given the particle size distribution n(s, l), we can integrate the above expression over all particle sizes to obtain the following expression for the observed I/F:

$$I/F = \int \left[\int \frac{1}{4} w(s) P(\alpha, s) \,\sigma(s) \,n(s, l) \,ds\right] dl = \int (J/F) \,dl,\tag{3}$$

where J/F is something we call the "local brightness density". This quantity has units of inverse length and can be regarded as the total effective surface area per unit volume in the ring. This parameter has the benefit of providing a localized measure of the ring's light-scattering properties, which is much easier to interpret than the integrated I/F.

The onion-peeling algorithm derives J/F estimates from the I/F data using an iterative procedure. For each row in the maps (i.e., a fixed z), the algorithm starts with the pixel corresponding to the largest distance from Saturn (i.e., the largest  $\rho$ ) and uses the measured I/F to determine the J/F in this outer fringe of the rings. This information is then used to estimate how much light this material should contribute to the ring's measured brightness at smaller values of  $\rho$ . Once this contribution to the observed I/F has been removed from the remaining pixels in the row, we can compute the local brightness density for the ring material visible in the next closest pixel to the planet's spin axis. Iterating over all the pixels then yields J/F as a function of  $\rho$  at the z of the selected row.

Any instrumental background level in the I/F maps will create spurious gradients in the peeled maps of J/F, so such backgrounds must be removed prior to applying the algorithm to a given map. In practice this is done by computing the median brightness in the pixels more than 20,000 km from Saturn's equatorial plane and subtracting this number from the entire image. Also, since this inversion method involves repeated differencing of signals from different pixels, which tends to amplify any noise in the image (especially at low  $\rho$ ), we re-bin the maps to a radial resolution of 5000 km/pixel prior to performing the inversion.

Once the maps have been suitably prepared, the actual onionpeeling can be performed. For simplicity, the algebra used in this analysis assumes the spacecraft is very far from the rings, which is a reasonable approximation for all the images discussed here. These calculations also assume that the ring is azimuthally symmetric. This is not precisely true for the E ring (see below), but the brightness profiles derived under this assumption have shapes consistent with those derived from images with finite ring-opening angles, so complicating the algorithm to account for azimuthal asymmetries does not appear to be necessary at this point.

Each iteration in the onion-peeling algorithm begins by estimating the brightness density J/F from the outermost pixel with non-zero signal in the I/F map generated during the previous iteration. Say this pixel covers the region between the minimum and maximum radii  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2 = \rho_1 + \Delta \rho$  and the vertical range  $z_1$  to  $z_2 = z_1 + \Delta z$ , respectively. Since previous iterations of the algorithm have already removed the signal from all the material orbiting the planet at radii greater than  $\rho_2$ , the light seen in the pixel comes entirely from an annulus extending between  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . Assuming the brightness density J/F is constant within this annulus, then the observed I/F is simply:

$$\frac{I}{F} = \frac{J}{F} \frac{V_o}{\Delta \rho \Delta z},\tag{4}$$

where  $V_o$  is the volume of the part of the annulus that lies within the limits of the pixel:

$$V_{o} = \left[\rho_{2}^{2}\cos^{-1}(\rho_{1}/\rho_{2}) - \rho_{1}\sqrt{\rho_{2}^{2} - \rho_{1}^{2}}\right]\Delta z.$$
(5)

Eq. (4) can thus be solved for J/F in order to estimate the average brightness in this range of  $\rho$  and z. This number is added to the appropriate pixel of the onion-peeled map of J/F.

This estimate of *J*/*F* is also used to compute and remove the contribution of this ring annulus to the other pixels in the *I*/*F* map. Assuming the ring is azimuthally symmetric, this annulus will contribute to pixels in the same row of the map (i.e., with the same values of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ ). For any pixel covering the radial range between  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j = \rho_i + \Delta \rho < \rho_1$ , the volume of the annulus captured in the pixel is:

$$V' = \mathcal{V}(\rho_i, \rho_2, \Delta z) - \mathcal{V}(\rho_j, \rho_2, \Delta z) - \mathcal{V}(\rho_i, \rho_1, \Delta z) + \mathcal{V}(\rho_j, \rho_1, \Delta z),$$
(6)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the camera is at an azimuthal angle  $\theta_{C_1}$  this plane corresponds to where  $\theta = \theta_C \pm 90^\circ$  in the limit where the range to Saturn approaches infinity.

Rev 024 E130MAP, evening ansa, edge-on view



Rev 024 E130MAP, evening ansa, onion-peeled vertical cut



**Fig. 1.** Edge-on views of the E ring derived from the Rev 024 E130MAP observations. The top image shows the ring's observed brightness as a function of radius  $\rho$  and z, while the bottom image shows the onion-peeled data, which represent the local brightness density as a function of  $\rho$  and z. For clarity, the vertical scale is enhanced by a factor of  $\sim$ 3 relative to the horizontal axis. Both images use a "cyclic" stretch (where the displayed brightness equals the intrinsic ring brightness modulo some number) in order to illustrate both the brighter and fainter parts of the ring. A faint dip in brightness near the mid-plane can be seen near the core of the ring around 240,000 km from Saturn center. Also note that the ring's peak brightness seems to occur at slightly positive values of z outside 240,000 km and slightly negative values of z inside 240,000 km in the onion-peeled image.

where  $\mathcal{V}(x, r, h)$  is the volume of the portion of a cylinder with radius r and height h that lies exterior to a vertical plane that has a minimum distance x from the cylinder's symmetry axis:

$$\mathcal{V}(x,r,h) = \left[ r^2 \cos^{-1}(x/r) - x\sqrt{r^2 - x^2} \right] h.$$
(7)

Given V', the contribution of the relevant annulus to the observed brightness in the selected pixel is simply:

$$\frac{I'}{F} = \frac{J}{F} \frac{V'}{\Delta \rho \Delta z}.$$
(8)

We can therefore subtract I'/F from the signal in the appropriate pixel of the I/F map, and thereby remove the light scattered by this annulus from the integrated brightness map. The map of the residual I/F left over after this subtraction is performed on all relevant pixels then forms the basis for the next iteration of the routine.

Of course, the outermost pixel in the map is always a special case, since we cannot first remove the contribution due to ring material exterior to this pixel. However, in practice the brightness of the ring becomes sufficiently faint near the edge of the frame that any residual signal has little effect on the peeled map.

# 4. Vertical structure of the E ring

The best data set for documenting the detailed vertical structure of the E ring is part of the E130MAP observation obtained on day 137 of 2006 in Rev 024. This is a large-scale map of the E ring obtained at moderately high phase angles ( $\sim$ 130°) and very low ring-opening angles ( $\sim$ 0.1°), which yielded near edge-on, high signal-to-noise data on the ring. A single map of the ring was constructed from the longer-exposure WAC images on the lowerphase ansa of the ring, which contained fewer stray light artifacts (W1526532467, W1526530667, W1526539667, W1526543267, W1526546867, W1526550467 and W1526554067). While this map extends out to radii of 600,000 km, the ring is only clearly detectable out to about 400,000 km. Fig. 1 shows the E-ring map derived from these data, as well as a map of the local brightness density obtained by onion-peeling the edge-on images. These maps clearly show the ring's density peaks near Enceladus' orbit at 240,000 km, as expected. What is perhaps more surprising is that the peak brightness does not occur at z = 0. Instead, there appear to be bands at  $z = \pm 1000$  km that are slightly brighter than the region in between. While this "double-banded" structure is not apparent in data obtained by the HRD on the Cosmic Dust Analyzer (Kempf et al., 2008, 2010), it was previously detected in RPWS data (Kurth et al., 2006). We can also observe in the peeled map that the peak of the ring's brightness occurs slightly north of the ring-plane (z > 0) at radii outside the Enceladus' orbit, and slightly south of the ring-plane (z < 0) interior to Enceladus' orbit.

In order to better quantify the ring's vertical structure, we can fit vertical brightness profiles derived from vertical cuts through the peeled map to various functional forms, and plot the resulting fit parameters as functions of the radius  $\rho$ . Fig. 2 shows an example brightness profile and several different possible fits. It is fairly clear that a Gaussian cannot accurately reproduce the observed vertical profile. In particular, the E-ring profile has much broader wings than the best-fit Gaussian model. By contrast, a Lorentzian fit does a much better job matching the overall shape of the profile. However, even this fit is not perfect, as it overpredicts the density in both the wings and around z = 0. These discrepancies are almost certainly due to the "double-banded" structure of the E-ring core discussed above. Even though the profile shown here does not have two distinct peaks separated by a local minimum,<sup>2</sup> it clearly has a flat-topped appearance that reflects a localized depletion near the peak of the profile. If we exclude this region and only fit the data at more than 2000 km from the profile's peak, the resulting model matches the shape of the wings very well, but grossly overpredicts the signal near z = 0. However, if we fit the residuals between this

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>$  A true dip near z = 0 is only clearly detected when a broader range of radii are co-added.



**Fig. 2.** A sample vertical cut through the onion-peeled image derived from the Rev 024 E130MAP data (Fig. 1), together with various fits. The Gaussian fit (in blue) clearly underestimates the signal level at large values of *z*. A simple Lorentzian fit (in green) does a much better job matching the overall shape of the profile, but slightly overpredicts the flux at *z* = 0 and in the wings of the profile. This is largely due to the depletion in particle density near *z* = 0. If we fit only data with |z| > 2000 km, we obtain the orange curve, which reproduces the wings of the profile better but grossly overpredicts the signal in the ring's core. Fitting the residuals between the data and the orange curve to a Gaussian and combining the Lorentzian peak and the Gaussian dip yields the red curve, which matches the data very well.

last model and the data to a Gaussian, the resulting combination of a Lorentzian peak and a Gaussian dip reproduces the observed profile remarkably well. It turns out that this combined model also works well in other parts of the E ring.

Fig. 3 shows the derived parameters as functions of radius, including profiles of normal I/F for the ring. These are simply the integrals of the onion-peeled cuts over z, which correspond to the *I*/*F* that the ring would have if it were observed from exactly face-on. The ring's normal *I/F* peaks near Enceladus' orbit, as expected based on previous profiles (de Pater et al., 2004; Kempf et al., 2008). Also shown is the integral of the residuals from the Lorentzian that best fits the wings of the vertical profile. This can be regarded as a measure of the depletion near the equator plane responsible for the apparent double-banded structure, with more negative values corresponding to a stronger signature. This feature is only detectable between 230,000 and 280,000 km, and has an asymmetric profile with a sharp inner edge and a more diffuse outer boundary. The depletion is also strongest near the orbit of Enceladus, and its vertical full-width at half-maximum is 2000 km, which is comparable to the width of the moon's Hill sphere (Juhász et al., 2007; Kempf et al., 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011).

Fig. 3 also shows the E-ring's vertical offset and width as functions of distance from the planet. The ring is centered at z = 0 near the orbit of Enceladus, and the vertical thickness of the ring is also at a minimum (4000-5000 km) here. The E-ring's peak brightness is displaced southwards of z = 0 interior to Enceladus's orbit, getting as far as 1000-2000 km below Saturn's equator plane at the orbit of Mimas. Outside of Enceladus' orbit, the ring becomes displaced northwards, reaching z = +1000 km near the orbit of Tethys (beyond which the signal-to-noise in the images degrades). The ring's vertical thickness also increases roughly linearly with distance from Enceladus' orbit, rising to roughly 10,000 km at the orbits of Mimas and Tethys. All of these trends are roughly consistent with earlier observations (Nicholson et al., 1996; de Pater et al., 2004; Kempf et al., 2008), except for the northward warp of the outer ring, which had not been detected previously. The numerical estimates of the ring's thickness, however, do diverge from those reported in previous works. Compared to the ground-based



Fig. 3. Plots of the various fit parameters versus radius in the ring. The top panel shows the normal I/F of the entire ring derived by vertically integrating the brightness measurements from the onion-peeled image. For comparison, this panel also shows the integrated brightness of the residuals from the Lorentzian fit. The second panel shows the ratios of the above two curves, which is a measure of the magnitude of the depletion that produces the "double-banded" structure. The vertical offsets of the peak location and the full-width at half-maximum of the various components of the fitted profiles are shown in the bottom two panels. In both cases, the black curves are the parameters for the single Lorentzian fit to the entire profile, the green curves are the parameters for the Lorentzian fit to the wings of the profile (more than 2000 km from the peak location), and the red curves are for the Gaussian fit to the residuals near the core of the ring. Note the signal to noise on these profiles falls dramatically outside 300.000 km, so the negative offsets near 345,000 km are not meaningful. Similarly, much of the vertical structure interior to 200,000 km is strongly influenced by signals from the G ring. (For interpretation to colours in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this paper.)

observations reported in Nicholson et al. (1996) and de Pater et al. (2004), we obtain somewhat lower estimates of the thickness near the core of the E ring. This is probably because these earlier measurements were done on the projected I/F data instead of on peeled maps, so their data from the E-ring core include some contribution from the ring's flared outer regions.

On the other hand, our thickness estimates are somewhat higher than those derived from in situ measurements. Using data from the HRD on the dust detector, Kempf et al. (2008) found that the ring's vertical full-width at half-maximum at the orbits of Mimas, Enceladus and Tethys are about 5400 km, 4300 km and 5500 km, respectively. A similar analysis of the RPWS data (Kurth et al., 2006) indicated slightly thicker rings, with FWHM values ranging between 4000 and 5300 km near Enceladus' orbit, which is still somewhat lower than our estimates. Some of the differences between the in situ and remote-sensing estimates may be attributed to azimuthal variations in the ring (see below) and differences in the assumed functional form of the E-ring's vertical profile, while others may reflect the different range of particle sizes probed by the various instruments (see Section 2). Smaller particles are expected to have a broader inclination distribution (Hamilton, 1993a; Burns et al., 2001; Horányi et al., 2008), and in situ observations indicate that different-sized particles have different vertical scale heights in the ring (Srama et al., 2006). The larger widths reported here may therefore be in part due the remotesensing data's finite sensitivity to smaller, sub-micron grains. However, detailed investigations of these issues is beyond the scope of this report.

#### 5. Longitudinal variations

In the E ring, there are two physically meaningful longitude systems, one measured relative to Enceladus and one measured relative to the Sun. In principle, asymmetries could be tied to either of these coordinate systems.

Let us first consider variations in the E ring that rotate around the planet at the same rate as Enceladus. The most obvious of these are the so-called "tendrils", regions of enhanced optical depth near Enceladus that could either represent plume material that has not yet been dispersed into the E ring or local variations in the E-ring density induced by the moon's gravitational perturbations on the ring particle's orbits (Spencer et al., 2009). These structures clearly follow Enceladus around on its orbit. Furthermore, early ground-based observations detected a bright cloud in the E ring that moved around the planet at roughly the same orbital speed as Enceladus (Roddier et al., 1998). While a detailed analysis of these localized structures is beyond the scope of this work, their existence motivates us to explore the possibility that some of the E-ring's large-scale structure may vary with longitude relative to Enceladus.

A useful data set for examining such variations is the E80PHASE001 imaging sequence from Day 11 of 2006 in Rev 020. During this sequence, the cameras observed one ansa of the E ring for a period of 16 h, or about one-half of Enceladus' orbit period. During this time, the spacecraft stared at the same longitude in the rings relative to the Sun as material rotated through. We made maps of the 17 clear-filter images taken during the long stare that were targeted directly at the core of the E ring.<sup>3</sup> These images were all obtained at moderate phase angles ( $\sim$ 80°), which meant that the ring was quite faint and spurious structures in the images due to stray light scattered within the camera (West et al., 2010) were more prominent. We were therefore unable to use the onion-peeling algorithm on these images, and so only considered the edge-on observed brightness maps. Fortunately, the stray-light patterns were stable from image to image, so we could still use these data to look for variations with longitude relative to Enceladus.

For each radius in the map derived from each image, we computed the vertically integrated brightness of the ring within 15,000 km of Saturn's equatorial plane after subtracting a cubic background based on the data at larger |z|. Fig. 4 plots the variations in these brightness estimates with longitude relative to Enceladus. Note that the observed longitudes cover the region near Enceladus as well as both the leading and trailing Lagrange points, and thus these data include most regions where one might suspect accumulations of material to be found. However, these plots only show subtle longitudinal brightness variations, many of which may simply be imaging artifacts. For example, there might be a slight (5–10%) elevation in the ring's brightness in the vicinity of



**Fig. 4.** Plots showing the vertically-integrated brightness of the edge-on E ring as a function of longitude relative to Enceladus derived from the Rev 020 E80PHASE data. All observations cover the same range of longitudes relative to the Sun. Each panel shows the brightness estimates normalized such that their average brightness is unity. Note that brightness measurements are not from onion-peeled maps, so each panel includes contributions from material outside the labelled distance. For all these plots, the brightness varies by less than 10%, suggesting that the brightness of the ring does not vary much with longitude relative to Enceladus.

Enceladus' longitude at  $\rho$  = 241,000 km, but this peak may simply be due to stray light from the moon itself. However, even if the interpretation of these variations are problematic, these plots clearly demonstrate that there are no systematic brightness trends with longitude at any radius within the ring above the 10% level, which is much less than the variations among observations made at different hour angles (see below). Plots of peak brightness and vertical width of the ring also fail to produce strong trends. We therefore conclude that, despite the existence of localized tendril-like structures in the vicinity of Enceladus, the broad-scale structure of the rings is not a strong function of longitude relative to Enceladus.

# 6. Hour-angle variations

The E-ring's large-scale structure varies much more dramatically with longitude relative to the Sun than it does with longitude relative to Enceladus. In order to avoid possible confusion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Image names W1515651456, W1515652240, W1515656916, W1515662987, W1515664339, W1515668736, W1515669520, W1515674496, W1515680256, W1515686016, W1515691776, W1515692560, W1515697536, W1515697810, W1515703308, W1515704660 and W1515709057.

#### Table 1

Images used to construct maps from E105PHASE Imaging sequences.

Rev 17	Rev 18	Rev 19	Rev 22	Rev 23	Rev 23
Sunward	Sunward	Shadow	Shadow	Sunward	Shadow
W1509652497 W1509652763 W1509659157 W1509667164 W1509667978 W1509674638 W1509675718	W1512071423 W1512071689 W1512075983 W1512080543 W1512085103 W1512085369 W1512089663	W1514471249 W1514473949 W1514475209	W1521084669 W1521084967 W1521091512 W1521098412 W1521105312 W1521112269	W1524468111 W1524474840 W1524481590 W152448340 W1524495090	W1524509713 W1524558146 W1524565192

regarding different longitude systems, patterns that appear to track the Sun will be referred to as "hour-angle variations" or "hour-angle asymmetries". Multiple observing sequences document these asymmetries. First, selected edge-on views of the rings show asymmetries in the rings' brightness and vertical structure. Second, the data obtained during Rev 28, when Cassini flew through Saturn's shadow provides a global view of the E ring's asymmetric structure at very high phase angles. Finally, images of Saturn's shadow within the E ring reveal further asymmetries within the ring's anti-solar quadrant.

#### 6.1. Edge-on views at moderate phase angles

During Revs 17-23 (days 306-2005 through 113-2006) Cassini observed the nearly edge-on E ring at phase angles around 105° in several separate E105PHASE campaigns. With this viewing geometry, one ring ansa is near the sub-solar longitude and the other is nearly aligned with the anti-solar longitude and Saturn's shadow. Note that when these observations were made, the solar elevation angle was above 17.7°, so Saturn's shadow does not extend much beyond 190.000 km from Saturn center within the E ring. Both ansae of the ring were viewed three separate times. The sub-solar ansa was observed during Revs 17, 18 and 23, while the anti-solar ansa was observed during Revs 19, 22 and 23. A subset of the clearfilter images from each observation listed in Table 1 was used to generate sufficiently high signal-to-noise maps of the appropriate ansa for the onion-peeling procedures described above. Examples of the onion-peeled maps of the sunward and shadowed ansa are shown in Fig. 5 with a common stretch applied to facilitate comparisons between the images. In both images the double-banded structure near the orbit of Enceladus is visible, but we can also see differences between the images. In particular, the sub-solar ansa is clearly brighter than the anti-solar one, and the brightness peaks at a smaller radius. These trends are found in all the relevant edge-on maps and are consistent with the high-phase observations described below.

In order to quantify these asymmetries, we fit vertical cuts through the peeled images to simple Lorentzians (note the signal-to-noise ratios of these onion peeled maps were too low for stable Gaussian + Lorentzian fits). Fig. 6 plots the resulting fit parameters. Note that the parameters derived from the three observations of each ansa show the same trends, which means that the systematic differences between the two ansae are not due to instrumental artifacts. As before, normal *I*/*F* curves are derived by vertically integrating the brightness measurements in the onion-peeled maps. In the sub-solar profiles, the normal *I*/*F* peaks near Enceladus' orbit, while the anti-solar profiles are systematically brighter than the anti-solar profiles between 210,000 km and 250,000 km (and perhaps even further out as well). These findings are consistent with those from the high-phase observations

described below (see Fig. 9), and demonstrate that this hour-angle asymmetry is a stable and persistent feature of the E ring.

Turning to the vertical structure of the ring, we find that the vertical offsets in the ring's peak brightness density are essentially the same on the two ansae throughout the E ring, even between 210,000 and 250,000 km where the brightness asymmetries are most prominent. However, the trends in the ring's vertical thickness systematically differ for the two ansae (see Fig. 13 below for a cartoon sketch of these asymmetries). Exterior to Enceladus' orbit, the E-ring's thickness grows more rapidly with increasing radius on the sub-solar ansa than on the anti-solar ansa. On the other hand, interior to Enceladus' orbit, the E-ring's thickness rises more steeply away from Enceladus on the anti-solar side of the rings. These trends are consistent among multiple observations and therefore cannot be ascribed to instrumental artifacts. This asymmetry in the ring's vertical structure suggests that those particles with their pericenters near the sub-solar longitude have a smaller range of inclinations than those whose pericenters are near the anti-solar point.

Unfortunately, Cassini did not obtain similarly high signalto-noise edge-on views of the ring at extremely high or low phase angles, where the ansa would correspond to the ring's "morning" and "evening" sides (i.e., longitudes  $\pm 90^{\circ}$  away from the Sun). Both ansae were observed during the E130MAP observations described above, but at these phase angles the ansae are halfway between the morning/evening and sub-solar/anti-solar parts of the rings. These data show trends that are qualitatively similar to those seen in the E105PHASE data, with the more sunward ansa appearing brighter and having a more pronounced exterior flare than the shadow-ward ansa. We therefore do not see any novel differences in the ring's vertical structure in these data that could easily be attributed to any asymmetries between the morning and evening sides of the ring.

#### 6.2. Extremely high-phase observations

Fig. 7 shows a false-color mosaic derived from images (W1537023863-W153703340) taken through the IR3, CLR and VIO filters (effective central wavelengths 420 nm, 634 nm and 917 nm, respectively) while Cassini flew through Saturn's shadow on Day 258 of 2006 during the Rev 28 HIPHWAC observation. Note the Sun is located behind the planet in this image (a bright spot at about 7 o'clock on Saturn's limb hints at its location). The rings in the upper half of the mosaic are closer to the Sun, while those in the lower half are closer to Saturn's shadow. The left ansa in this image is thus the evening side of the rings and the right ansa lies on the morning side of the rings. During this observation, Enceladus was moving from the evening ansa towards the anti-solar side of the rings. This mosaic contains several instrumental artifacts, including a dark horizontal band due to partial saturation of the detector, and various bright streaks and flares due to stray light from the planet. Nevertheless, one can still identify several



Fig. 5. Sample onion-peeled maps of the E-ring's two ansa derived from E105PHASE observations in Revs 17–23. Both images use the same stretch. Note the sub-solar ansa is significantly brighter than the anti-solar ansa, and peaks at a smaller radius. Also note that the double-banded structure is visible in both images.

asymmetries in the ring's brightness and color from a visual inspection of this image.

Perhaps the most obvious E-ring asymmetry is the difference in the color of the two ansae. On the evening ansa, the ring has a similar color just interior and exterior to the E-ring core, while on the morning ansa, the inner parts of the ring appear distinctly "bluer" than the outer parts. Also, on the evening ansa the ring's brightness smoothly decreases inwards towards the G ring, while the morning ansa seems to have a sharper inner edge somewhat exterior to the G ring. In addition, the sub-solar and anti-solar sides of the rings show a more subtle, but still important, asymmetry. In this mosaic, the Sun was located somewhat below the center of the planet, so the anti-solar side of the rings is being observed at a somewhat higher phase angle than the sub-solar side of the rings. Since a dusty ring's brightness should increase with phase angle (Showalter et al., 1991; Burns et al., 2001), the anti-solar part of the rings should be brighter than the sunward region, but in fact these two sides of the ring actually appear to be equally bright, which implies that the E ring is intrinsically brighter near the sub-solar longitude.<sup>4</sup> The edge-on images discussed above are consistent with this interpretation, and furthermore the fact that those observations always show the sub-solar ansa to be brighter than the anti-solar ansa means that this asymmetry is a persistent ring feature and not a result of a coincidence involving the location of Enceladus in these images.

In order to explore these asymmetries more quantitatively, we must generate profiles of brightness versus radius. However, to be informative, these profiles need to account for the significant changes in the phase and emission angles across this mosaic. The emission-angle variations can be dealt with relatively simply by computing the emission angle at the ring-plane for each pixel in the images and multiplying the observed I/F by the cosine of the emission angle  $\mu$  to obtain the normal I/F, i.e., the predicted brightness of the ring when it is viewed at normal incidence. For low-optical-depth rings like the E ring, this quantity should be independent of the observed emission angle.

Dealing with the phase-angle variations is more difficult, because we do not know a priori how the ring's brightness changes with phase angle, especially if there are azimuthal asymmetries in the ring. Fortunately, we can avoid this issue by constructing brightness profiles at a fixed phase angle. Lines of constant phase angle correspond to circles in the mosaic centered on the apparent position of the Sun behind the planet, with the circle's radius being set by the phase angle (see Fig. 8). For phase angles between 174.5° and 177.5°, these circles cut through the E-ring's core at four separate longitudes. Figs. 9 and 10 show the profiles corresponding to phase angles of 177° and 175°, respectively (these phase angles were chosen to minimize stray-light contamination within the core of the E ring). In both figures, two sets of profiles are shown, one derived from VIO-filter images (effective wavelength 420 nm) and the other derived from IR3-filter images (effective wavelength 917 nm). In both cases, only data from the images with relatively short exposures were used to avoid saturation effects.

First, consider the brightness measurements made at 177° phase in Fig. 9. The cuts through the E-ring's core now fall either close to the sub-solar side of the rings ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \sim 0^{\circ}$ , purple-pink in Fig. 9) or the anti-solar side of the rings ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \sim 180^{\circ}$ , green– blue in Fig. 9). The IR3-filter data do not show strong azimuthal variations; the offsets between the profiles primarily reflect the different instrumental background levels seen interior to the ring. However, the VIO-filter profiles show clear asymmetries. In this case, the peak brightness occurs near Enceladus' orbit on the sub-solar side of the rings, while on the anti-solar side the peak lies about 10,000 km further from Saturn. Furthermore, the peak brightness on the sub-solar side is roughly 50% higher than the peak brightness on the anti-solar side. Thus, while outside 250,000 km the profiles are basically the same, between 190,000 and 250,000 km the sub-solar ring is always brighter than the anti-solar ring in the VIO-filter images. This asymmetry in the rings' brightness is consistent with that seen in the edge-on views described above (compare Fig. 6).

Next, consider the 175° phase data in Fig. 10, where the cuts through the ring's core fall near the morning ansa ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \sim 270^\circ$ , orangish-red and green in Fig. 10) or the evening ansa ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \sim 90^\circ$ , various shades of blue in Fig. 10). The brightness

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ingersoll and Ewald (2011) recently came to the same conclusion in an independent analysis of these images.



**Fig. 6.** Vertical structure of the sub-solar and anti-solar ansae of the E ring derived from clear-filter images obtained during E105PHASE observations. All results are derived from simple Lorentzian fits. The top panel shows the vertically integrated brightness profiles of the ring. The second panel presents the normal *I/F* derived by vertically integrating the onion-peeled maps. The third panel displays the peak brightness (*J/F*) in the onion-peeled maps, while the bottom two panels show the vertical offset and full width at half maximum of the ring. The black curves come from the sub-solar ansa while the green/gray curves are for the anti-solar ansa. Note that the inner part of the ring is systematically brighter on the sub-solar ansa (compare with Fig. 9). Also, while the vertical offset of the ring appears to be similar on the two ansae, the vertical thicknesses are clearly different. (For interpretation to colours in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this paper.)

profiles derived from the IR3-filter images are basically the same at the two ansa, but the VIO-filter shows a clear asymmetry. The evening-ansa, VIO-filter profiles have a slightly wider peak than the IR3 profiles (which is why the core of the ring in that part of the mosaic has a reddish core and blue wings in Fig. 7), but otherwise the IR3-filter and evening-ansa VIO-filter profiles have similar shapes with a rather sharp peak at Enceladus' orbit. By contrast, the morning-ansa VIO-filter profiles maintain a higher brightness (relative to the peak at Enceladus' orbit) between 210,000 and 240,000 km, which corresponds to the bluish-colored region on the morning ansa that can be seen in Fig. 7. Around 210,000 km, there is a relatively sharp drop in brightness that corresponds to the edge of this region in the mosaic. Further information about this morning–evening asymmetry can be obtained by examining high-phase images of the region around the edge of Saturn's shadow.

#### 6.3. High-phase shadow-edge observations

Brightness asymmetries in the E ring can also be identified in a mosaic of images (N1536644151–N1536651126) obtained during the Rev 28 SHADBOUND observation on Day 254 of 2006 at phase angles between 145° and 150° (see Fig. 11). These images trace out the edge of Saturn's shadow on the planet's equatorial plane, and indeed the shadow edge is visible in many of the images, especially near the G ring. Differencing the observed signals interior and exterior to the shadow edge enables us to remove any sky or instrumental backgrounds and thus provides estimates of the ring's absolute brightness near the two sides of the shadow (see Fig. 12).

There is a clear asymmetry in the brightness contrast along the two sides of the shadow, which is most prominent exterior to the G ring at 167,500 km. The difference in the normal I/F across the evening edge of the shadow is of order  $10^{-6}$  between 170,000 and 190,000 km, while on the morning edge of the shadow the normal I/F change is 5–10 times smaller. Thus the E-ring is about an order of magnitude fainter on the shadow's morning side than it is on the evening side, far more than would be expected given slight differences in the phase angle between these two regions (Showalter et al., 1991).

This difference between the two edges is likely connected to the morning-evening asymmetry seen in the high-phase mosaic. Returning to Fig. 7, note that interior to the "blue" region of the Ering on the morning ansa, the E ring has a sharp inner edge that is not seen on the evening ansa. The relatively empty region interior to this edge probably corresponds to the region of reduced brightness on the shadow's morning edge seen in the SHADBOUND data. This suggests that while the morning side of the E-ring has a higher particle density than the evening side between 210,000 and 240,000 km (cf. Fig. 10), the opposite is true between 170.000 and 190.000 km. Unfortunately, due to difficulties in determining the instrumental background levels in the mosaics and complications associated with changing phase angles, we cannot generate profiles like those in Fig. 10 that clearly document this transition. Nevertheless, such a transition is a reasonable interpretation of the combined data from the high-phase mosaics and the shadow-boundary observations. The location of this transition is interesting, because it occurs near the location of the tip of Saturn's shadow in the planet's equatorial plane. Together with the evidence for the sharp change in the Ering's brightness between the morning and evening sides of Saturn's shadow, this strongly suggests that the shadow influences the structure of the inner E ring.

Finally, note that on the shadow's evening side the sharp brightness transition deviates from the expected position of the shadow edge in Saturn's equator plane at radii greater than 190,000 km. As shown in Fig. 11 the observed position of the brightness boundary in this region would correspond to the expected shadow edge at a location between 0 and 2000 km south of Saturn's equator plane. Thus the apparent position of the shadow boundary could reflect the inner E-ring's southward deflection observed in edge-on images (see Figs. 3 and 6). The proximity of the brightness edge to the predicted shadow edge at z=0 for radii less than 190,000 km would then imply that the ring is not vertically offset interior to 190,000 km. However, we should note that the contributions from Saturn's very flat G ring may be affecting the apparent position of the shadow edge. Furthermore, we cannot completely rule out the possibility that the brightness variations seen outside 190,000 km do not trace the position of the shadow



**Fig. 7.** Mosaic of images obtained during the Rev 28 HIPHWAC sequence. Red, green and blue in this image correspond to images taken in the IR3, CLR and VIO filters, respectively, and a gamma correction has been applied to make regions of differing brightness easier to see. The E ring is the outermost diffuse ring in this image, while the G ring can be seen as a narrow feature between the diffuse E ring and the main ring system. Several camera artifacts can be seen in this image, including a horizontal line associated with image saturation, and diagonal bright streaks due to stray light from the planet. Nevertheless, certain asymmetries in the E ring can also be observed in this mosaic. The E ring is particularly bright where it passes near the planet because the ring is viewed at higher phase angles that highlight small particles. Also the left, or evening, E-ring ansa has a similar color just inside and outside the E-ring core, while the right, or morning ansa appears bluer interior to the E-ring core than it does further from the planet. This blue region also appears to have a relatively sharp inner edge outside the G ring, which is not apparent on the opposite ansa.



**Fig. 8.** A graphical illustration of two lines of constant phase (175° and 177°), overlaid on the VIO filter image mosaic shown in Fig. 7 (also gamma-stretched). The colors along each circle indicate the longitude of the observations relative to the Sun, using the same color codes as Figs. 9 and 10. Slight ripples in the two circles occur at the boundaries between images within the mosaic due to small variations in the viewing geometry over the course of the observation.

edge but instead reflect variations in the rings' surface density in the vicinity of the shadow.

# 7. Synthesis

The above observational data document several interesting structures and asymmetries in Saturn's E ring, which should help elucidate the dynamics of E-ring particles. Unfortunately, the observed data only provide measurements of the brightness density as a function of radius, longitude and *z*, and the mapping between these real-space coordinates and particle orbital elements is not straightforward. In particular, there is often insufficient information to determine whether a given radial brightness distribution is due to variations in either the particles' semi-major axes or their eccentricities. Over the years, numerical simulations have been used to predict the spatial distribution of particles moving under the influence of a specified set of forces and compare those with the observed data (Horányi et al., 1992; Juhász and Horányi, 2002, 2004; Juhász et al., 2007; Horányi et al., 2008). However, a thorough comparison of these models with the data is beyond the scope of this paper because the relatively complex dynamics of E-ring particles make it difficult to exhaustively explore the relevant parameter space. Instead, we will focus on a few features of the data where analytical expressions can clarify the physical processes involved.

First, we will consider the average vertical warp and the doublebanded structure in the vicinity of Enceladus' orbit. Here, analytical calculations demonstrate that these features of the E ring are consistent with current models and theories of the E ring and we can therefore use these features to constrain both the physical and orbital properties of the E-ring particles. Next we will discuss the azimuthal asymmetries, which are difficult to explain in terms of existing theory and models. Here we will highlight aspects of the ring's structure that will likely pose the biggest challenge for theoretical models or numerical simulations.

#### 7.1. Average vertical warp

Edge-on observations at a variety of longitudes consistently show that the E-ring's peak brightness density shifts from  $\sim$ 1000 km southwards of Saturn's equator plane to  $\sim$ 1000 km northwards of Saturn's equator plane between the orbits of Mimas and Tethys (see Figs. 3, 6 and 13). The simplest interpretation of this shape is that a significant fraction of the E-ring particles in this region are on eccentric, inclined orbits with arguments of pericenter (i.e. the angle between the orbit's ascending node and the orbit's pericenter)  $\omega$  around  $-90^\circ$ . For such orbits, the longitudes of their ascending nodes on Saturn's equatorial plane  $\Omega$  are roughly 90° ahead of its longitude of pericenter  $\varpi$ , so these particles are always found south of Saturn's equator plane near their orbital pericenters and north of Saturn's equatorial plane near their orbital apocenters (see Fig. 13). Note that such a constraint on  $\omega$  does not necessarily require  $\Omega$  or  $\pi$  to have any particular value. Indeed, since the warp is observed at various longitudes, the E-ring must contain particles with all possible orbital pericenter longitudes or, equivalently, ascending nodes (see also below).



**Fig. 9.** Radial brightness profile derived from images of the E ring obtained during the Rev 28 eclipse observations. All data come from a narrow range of phase angles within ±0.05° of 177°, and are color-coded by longitude relative to the Sun (see Fig. 8). The top panel shows data from VIO-filter (420 nm) images and the bottom panel shows data from IR3-filter (917 nm) images. Dotted lines in the profiles indicate regions of the profile corrupted by instrumental artifacts: narrow positive spikes are generated by stars or cosmic rays, while the narrow dips around 160,000 km are likely due to a change in the instrument's response along lines that became saturated on the bright parts of Saturn's limb, and the plateau around 320,000 km is due to stray light from the planet. Note that in the VIO-filter images the region between 190,000 and 250,000 km is systematically brighter on the sub-solar side ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \simeq 0^{\circ}$ ) of the rings.

This distribution of orbital elements is not entirely unexpected. Numerical studies of eccentric circumplanetary orbits previously demonstrated that non-gravitational forces can cause  $\omega$  to become locked around ±90° (Horányi et al., 1990; Horányi et al., 1992; Hamilton, 1993a; Juhász and Horányi, 2004). Hamilton (1993a) provides analytical expressions that demonstrate how this locking can arise from the out-of-plane components of solar radiation pressure and the Lorentz forces from Saturn's magnetic field and derives the appropriate governing equations. However, these new data permit us to examine these processes in more detail than was previously possible and to test this theoretical model.

As mentioned above, there is no completely generic way to transform an onion-peeled brightness distribution in  $\rho$  and z into a distribution in orbital elements. However, the presence of



**Fig. 10.** Radial brightness profiles derived from E-ring images obtained during the Rev 28 eclipse observations. All data come from a narrow range of phase angles within ±0.05° of 175°. The data points are color-coded by longitude relative to the Sun (see Fig. 8). The top panel shows data from VIO-filter (420 nm) images and the bottom panel shows data from IR3-filter (917 nm) images. Dotted lines in the profiles indicate regions corrupted by instrumental artifacts: positive spikes are generated by stars or cosmic rays, while the narrow dips around 210,000 km are likely due to a change in the instrument's response along lines that became saturated on bright parts of Saturn's limb. Note the differences in the shape of the VIO-filter profiles on the morning ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \sim 270^{\circ}$ ) and evening ( $\lambda - \lambda_{\odot} \sim 90^{\circ}$ ) ansa.

detectable vertical offsets enables us to estimate the "typical" orbital elements of the E-ring particles at various locations within the rings. Let us assume that most of the E-ring particles between the orbits of Mimas and Tethys are on eccentric, inclined orbits with  $\omega \simeq \pm 90^{\circ}$ , so the particles reach the extremes of their vertical motions at pericenter and apocenter. Since  $d\rho/dt \simeq dz/dt \simeq 0$  at these locations, the time any particle spends in a small range of  $\rho$  and z will be a local maximum at its orbital pericenter and apocenter. Particles with a given semi-major axis a, eccentricity e and inclination *i* will therefore make the strongest contributions to the ring's edge-on brightness density at the locations where  $\rho = a(1 \pm e)$  and  $z = \pm \rho \sin i$  (cf. Fig. 3 of Horányi et al. (1992)). This suggests that the onion-peeled brightness of the ring at a given  $\rho$ can often be dominated by particles with pericenters or apocenters at the specified value of  $\rho$ , in which case the vertical profile at that location would reflect the distribution of orbital inclinations of those particles. Of course particles spend a finite amount of time



**Fig. 11.** A mosaic of images obtained during the Rev 028 SHADBOUND sequence. These images trace out the arc of Saturn's shadow on Saturn's equatorial plane (indicated by the dark dashed line in the right-hand plot) and have been re-projected onto a regular grid of radii and longitudes relative to the Sun. The saturated ends of the mosaic correspond to the edge of the A ring, and the G-ring can be seen outside the shadow around 167,500 km. Note that the brightness contrast across the shadow edge is much more prominent along the evening edge of the shadow than it is on the morning side (the G ring also appears somewhat brighter along the evening side, but this is largely an artifact of the background levels, see Fig. 12). In the right-hand mosaic, the dashed line indicates the position of Saturn's shadow in the planet's equatorial plane, while the two dotted lines mark the apparent positions of Saturn's shadow in planes at z = +2000 km (lower curve) and z = -2000 km (upper curve).



**Fig. 12.** Profiles of the ring brightness versus ring-plane radius along the two edges of the shadow. Each profile is a plot of the brightness difference between regions  $0.2^{\circ}$  and  $0.4^{\circ}$  on either side of the predicted shadow position, which eliminates instrumental backgrounds. Each plotted brightness value is an average of multiple measurements derived from individual rows or columns of the relevant images (whether rows or columns are used depends on which is more perpendicular to the shadow edge). These individual brightness measurements are median filtered prior to averaging to remove contamination from stars and cosmic rays. The peak at 167,000 km in both profiles is due to the G ring. The brightness level exterior to the G ring is systematically higher on the evening side of the shadow, consistent with Fig. 11.(For interpretation to colours in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this paper.)

between periapse and apoapse, so the observed vertical distribution will be contaminated at some level by particles with periapses less than  $\rho$  and apoapses greater than  $\rho$ . Nevertheless, even if we neglect these complications and assume that particles are only seen near their periapses and apoapses, we can still obtain illuminating information regarding the trends and correlations among the orbital elements of the E-ring particles.

Let us define a mean "effective" inclination of the particles at a given radial location  $\rho$  as simply tan  $i_{eff} = |z_{off}|/\rho$ , where  $z_{off}$  is the measured vertical offset at that location shown in Figs. 3 and 6. As shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 14, this effective mean inclination increases on either side of Enceladus' orbit, reaching roughly 0.2° around 200,000 km and 300,000 km. Given that Enceladus is the source of the E ring, we may assume that most of the particles observed outside 240,000 km are near apoapse and those interior to 240,000 km are near periapse. Thus the data interior to 240,000 km show how the inclination varies with periapse distance, while the data exterior to 240,000 km show how the inclination varies with apoapse distance. Turning this around, we may regard the two radial locations where the ring has the same effective mean inclination as indicating the "effective mean pericenter distance"  $a_{eff}(1 - e_{eff})$  and "effective mean apocenter distance"  $a_{eff}(1 + e_{eff})$  of particles with those inclinations. These two numbers then yield an effective semi-major axis  $a_{eff}$  and effective eccentricity e<sub>eff</sub> of the particles with each effective inclination. These parameters are plotted in the right-hand panels of Fig. 14. Note that for these calculations we take the pericenter distances from observations of one ansa and the apocenter distances from a separate observation of the opposite ansa. While there may be some slight differences in the trends derived from opposite ring ansa, they are fairly subtle and will not be considered further here.

Despite their crudity, the above calculations reveal interesting correlations among the various orbital elements. The effective



**Fig. 13.** Sketch of the E-ring's vertical structure. The grey bands on either side of Saturn illustrate the symmetric warp and asymmetric flare of the ring, while the ellipses indicate representative orbits with arguments of pericenter near  $-90^{\circ}$  (see Section 7.1). Note the planet and rings are not shown to scale.



Fig. 14. Effective orbital parameters derived from edge-on images of the E ring. Plus signs come from the E105PHASE observations (see Fig. 6), while the diamonds come from the E130MAP sequence (see Fig. 3). The left-hand panel shows the effective mean inclination of the ring particles as a function of radius in the ring. Assuming that regions with similar effective inclination inward and outward of Enceladus correspond to particles on similar orbits at periapse and appapse, we compute the effective semi-major axis and effective eccentricity of particles as a function of inclination, shown in the right two panels. (In each case the periapse data from one ansa are matched to the apoapse data on the opposite ansa.) The dotted and solid lines in the rightmost plots show two different linear fits to all the data (sin i<sub>eff</sub> = 0.0208e<sub>eff</sub> and sin i<sub>eff</sub> = 0.0243e<sub>eff</sub> - 0.0002, respectively). (For interpretation to colours in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this paper.)

inclination and effective eccentricity are strongly correlated, which is perfectly sensible given that vertical forces are more efficient at tilting eccentric orbits due to the differences in the torque applied at periapse and apoapse (see below). In addition, the effective semi-major axes are all between 0 and ~10,000 km exterior Enceladus' orbit. This is reasonable as Enceladus is the E-ring's primary source, and small grains launched from that moon are expected to have semi-major axes that are nearby but somewhat exterior to the moon's semi-major axis due to the charging of the grains upon exposure to the magnetosphere (Schaffer and Burns, 1987). Furthermore, the forces that perturb semi-major axes (like plasma drag and electromagnetic forces associated with Saturn's shadow) tend to cause the semi-major axis to slowly migrate outwards (Horányi and Burns, 1991; Burns et al., 2001; Horányi et al., 2008; Hamilton and Krüger, 2008).

To further evaluate these trends and correlations, we may compare the observed relationship between  $e_{eff}$  and  $i_{eff}$  with that expected due to the action of various vertical forces. Hamilton (1993a) derived Gauss perturbation equations for E-ring particles moving under the influence of Saturn's oblateness, solar radiation pressure and Lorentz forces from Saturn's offset dipolar magnetic field, but ignoring asymmetric terms associated with Saturn's shadow, etc. If the particle's orbit has a sufficiently slowly varying eccentricity e, the equations of motion have a solution where the argument of pericenter  $\omega$  and inclination *i* are (Eq. (33) of Hamilton (1993a)):

$$\sin \omega_{eq} = \operatorname{sign}(\dot{\omega}_{xy}/Z), \tag{9}$$
$$\sin i_{eq} = |Z/\dot{\omega}_{xy}|, \tag{10}$$

 $\sin i_{eq} = |Z/\dot{\omega}_{xy}|,$ 

where sign(x) = x/|x|,  $\dot{\omega}_{xy}$  is the precession rate for the argument of periapse and Z is a rate determined by the out-of-plane forces acting on the particle. Assuming small inclinations and making a small formatting change from Eqs. (31) and (32) of Hamilton (1993a), these rates are:

$$\dot{\omega}_{xy} = n \left[ \frac{3J_2 R_p^2}{a^2 (1 - e^2)^2} - \frac{L}{(1 - e^2)^{3/2}} \left( 1 - e^2 - \frac{3n}{\Omega_p} \right) + \frac{\alpha (1 - e^2)^{1/2}}{ne} \cos \phi_{\odot} \right],$$
(11)

$$Z = \frac{ne}{(1 - e^2)^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{n} \sin B_{\odot} + \frac{3L}{2(1 - e^2)^2} \binom{g_{2,0}}{g_{1,0}} \binom{R_p}{a} \binom{n}{\Omega_p} \right], \quad (12)$$

where  $R_p = 60,330$  km is the planet's radius,  $\Omega_p \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ /s is the planet's rotation rate,  $J_2 \sim 0.017$  is Saturn's quadrupole gravitational harmonic (Jacobson et al., 2006),  $g_{1,0} \sim 21.2~\mu T$  and  $g_{2,0} \simeq 1.5~\mu T$  are the dipole and aligned quadrupole Gauss coeffcients of Saturn's magnetic field (Gombosi et al., 2009), a is the particle's orbital semi-major axis, n is the particle's orbital mean motion,  $\phi_{\odot}$  is the angle between the particle's orbital pericenter and the sub-solar longitude,  $B_{\odot}$  is the solar elevation angle, and  $\alpha/n$  and L are unitless force ratios. The ratio  $\alpha/n$  is set by the ratio of solar radiation pressure to the planet's gravity, and is:

$$\frac{\alpha}{n} = \beta \frac{3M_{\odot}a^2}{2M_p a_p^2},\tag{13}$$

where  $M_{\odot}$  = 2 × 10<sup>30</sup> kg is the Sun's mass,  $M_p$  = 5.7 × 10<sup>26</sup> kg is Saturn's mass,  $a_p \sim 1.5 \times 10^9$  km is the planet's heliocentric orbital semi-major axis, and  $\beta$  is the ratio of the solar radiation force to the solar gravitational force acting on the particle, which depends on the particle's physical and optical properties (Burns et al., 1979). The parameter *L* is a measure of the strength of the electromagnetic force relative to the planet's gravity and is given by (modified to mks units and corrected to fix a typographical error from Eq. (22) in Hamilton (1993a), see Hamilton, 1993b):

$$L = \frac{q_g}{m_g} \frac{g_{1,0} R_p^3 \Omega_p}{GM_p},\tag{14}$$

where  $q_g$  and  $m_g$  are the grain's charge and mass. The charge-tomass ratio can be expressed as  $3\epsilon_0 \Phi/\rho_s s^2$ , where  $\epsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space,  $\Phi$  is grain's electrostatic potential,  $\rho_g$  is the grain's mass density, and s is the grain radius.

In order to evaluate *Z* and  $\dot{\omega}_{xy}$  numerically, we will assume that the particles have semi-major axes close to Enceladus'  $(a \simeq 240,000 \text{ km} \text{ and } n \simeq 5.2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{s})$  and have moderate eccentricities (e < 0.25), consistent with the trends seen in Fig. 14. In this case, we may approximate terms like  $1 - e^2$  as simply unity. We also assume  $B_{\odot} \simeq -17^{\circ}$ , which is the average solar ring opening angle during these observations. Also, as discussed in Section 2, the particles that dominate the observed E-ring brightness in the Cassini images should be of order 1 µm in radius. Furthermore, various observations indicate that E-ring particles are composed primarily of water-ice and that the largest particles are charged to roughly -2 V (Kempf et al., 2006; Hillier et al., 2007; Postberg et al., 2008; Hedman et al., 2009). Hence the parameter  $\beta \sim 1$  (Burns et al., 1979) and we may express the charge-to-mass ratio  $q_g/$  $m_{\rm g} \sim -0.05 \,{\rm C/kg} \,(\Phi/-2 \,{\rm V})/(s/1 \,{\rm \mu m})^2$  (assuming  $\rho_{\rm g}$  = 1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, which may be an overestimate if the grains have an aggregate or porous structure). Thus the unitless parameters are  $\alpha/n \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{-4}\beta$  and  $L \simeq -9.6 \times 10^{-4} \, (\Phi/-2 \, \text{V})/(s/1 \, \mu \text{m})^2$  and:

$$\dot{\omega}_{xy} = n \left[ 0.0032 + 0.00005 \frac{\Phi/(-2V)}{(s/1\,\mu\text{m})^2} \left( 1 - \frac{e^2}{0.05} \right) + 0.00013\beta \frac{\cos\phi_{\odot}}{e} \right],$$
(15)

$$Z = -ne \left[ 3.9 * 10^{-5} \beta + 0.8 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\Phi/(-2 \text{ V})}{(\text{s}/1 \, \mu\text{m})^2} \right]. \tag{16}$$

The last term in Eq. (15) is negligible unless *e* is much less than 0.1, and the second term is positive for *e* < 0.23. Thus for the particles of interest here,  $\dot{\omega}_{xy}$  and *Z* have opposite signs, which means (from Eq. (9)) sin  $\omega = -1$  or  $\omega = -90^{\circ}$ , as observed. Also, the equilibrium inclination is given by (again, assuming  $1 - e^2 \simeq 1$ ):

$$\sin i_{eq} \simeq 0.012 e \left[ \beta + 0.2 \frac{\Phi/(-2 \text{ V})}{(\text{s}/1 \ \text{\mum})^2} \right]. \tag{17}$$

Fitting a line through the estimated effective inclinations and eccentricities in Fig. 14, we obtain  $i_{eff} \sim 0.021 e_{eff}$ . Such a trend is consistent with the above expression if  $\beta \sim 1$  and  $s \sim 0.5 \,\mu$ m. Of course, *s* could be somewhat larger if the grains have significant porosity or fractal structure (such that  $\rho_g < 1 \, \text{g/cm}^3$ ) or smaller if the observed grains are less negatively charged than the larger particles observed by the in situ experiments. Nevertheless, it is remarkable that the required *s* is close to the particle size that is expected to dominate the appearance of the E ring in the Cassini images (see Section 2) Given the roughness of these calculations, this level of agreement encourages us to regard the effective orbital elements derived above as reflecting real properties of the E ring. Furthermore, this analysis also confirms that the vertical component of solar radiation pressure can produce sufficiently high inclinations to generate the observed large-scale warp.

# 7.2. Vertical structure near Enceladus' orbit

Between 230.000 and 280.000 km from Saturn's center the E-ring's vertical density profile departs significantly from the simple Lorentzian form found elsewhere in the ring (see Fig. 3). Instead, the observed vertical profiles in this region are best fit by a broad (4000-5000 km FWHM) Lorentzian peak plus a narrow (~2000 km FWHM) Gaussian dip. This distinctive vertical structure is also present in many numerical simulations (Juhász et al., 2007; Kempf et al., 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011), and has also been seen in RPWS data (Kurth et al., 2006). However, this structure is not clearly visible in the HRD data from Cassini's Cosmic Dust Analyzer (Kempf et al., 2008, 2010), which may be in part due to differences in the range of particle sizes probed by these observations (cf. Juhász et al., 2007). This pattern is almost certainly due to a combination of the non-zero speeds at which particles are launched from Enceladus' surface and the particles' gravitational scattering during close encounters with the moon. These phenomena excite the particles' vertical velocities, reducing the local particle density near the ring-plane over a range in z comparable in size to Enceladus' Hill sphere. The observed radial trends in the vertical structure are indeed compatible with a structure produced by close Encealdus encounters.

The magnitude of the mid-plane density depletion (measured using the ratio of the Gaussian and Lorentzian terms in the fitted profiles – see the second panel of Fig. 3) clearly peaks around Enceladus' semi-major axis  $a_E$ , which implies that Enceladus plays an important role in producing this structure. However, we also need to explain the asymmetric profile of the mid-plane density depletion, which extends much further exterior to  $a_E$ . Recalling that a particle on an eccentric orbit contributes most strongly to the ring's brightness near its orbital pericenters and apocenters (cf. Fig. 3 in Horányi et al. (1992)), the simplest interpretation of this

asymmetry is that the affected ring particles all have pericenters close to  $a_E$ , and a range of apocenter distances extending between  $a_E$  and  $a_E + 30,000$  km, The affected particles therefore have semimajor axes between  $a_E$  and  $a_E + 15,000$  km, comparable to the range of semi-major axes derived from the E-ring's vertical warp (see Fig. 14). As mentioned above, this range of semi-major axes is likely due to the charging of the small grains upon escaping from Enceladus (Schaffer and Burns, 1987), effects from the planetary shadow edge (Horányi and Burns, 1991; Hamilton and Krüger, 2008), as well as slow outward migration due to various non-gravitational forces (Burns et al., 2001; Horányi et al., 2008). However, the eccentricities of these particles are all less than ~0.06, which is much less than the range of effective eccentricities found in Fig. 14.

Both the pericenter distances and eccentricities of the particles involved in the mid-plane density depletion can be explained in terms of the dynamics of close Enceladus encounters. For particles with semi-major axes greater than  $a_F$ , the likelihood of a close encounter is greatly enhanced for particles whose pericenters are close to  $a_F$  because  $d\rho/dt \simeq 0$  at those locations. Furthermore, in order for Enceladus to significantly perturb a particle's orbit, the velocity of the particle relative to the moon during the encounter  $v_{rel}$  cannot be much larger than the Enceladus' nominal escape speed  $v_{esc} \sim 0.24$  km/s (Kempf et al., 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011). A particle with eccentricity *e* encountering Enceladus at periapse will pass the moon at a  $v_{rel} \simeq (\sqrt{1+e}-1) * v_E$ , where  $v_E \simeq$ 12 km/s is the orbital speed of Enceladus. Thus  $v_{rel} \simeq v_{esc}$  when the particles' orbital eccentricity is about 0.04, comparable to the maximum observed eccentricity of the particles involved in the mid-plane density depletion. We can therefore conclude that inclination excitation by close encounters with Enceladus is a reasonable explanation for the vertical structure of the E ring between 230,000 and 280,000 km.

# 7.3. Hour-angle variations

Both the E-ring's vertical thickness and its vertically-integrated brightness profile vary with longitude relative to the Sun. Again, this result was not entirely unexpected because azimuthal asymmetries have been observed in numerical simulations of diffuse rings perturbed by asymmetric forcing terms like solar radiation pressure, changes in the ambient plasma or charge fluctuations generated by the particles' passage through the planet's shadow (Burns and Schaffer, 1989; Horányi and Burns, 1991; Hamilton, 1993a; Burns et al., 2001; Juhász and Horányi, 2004; Hamilton and Krüger, 2008). However, several of the observed brightness asymmetries are very different from those found in published models, and consequently are difficult to understand and interpret.

The brightness asymmetries between the sub-solar and anti-solar sides of the E ring are particularly problematic. Figs. 6 and 9 both indicate that the entire inner part of the E-ring (between 180,000 and 250,000 km) is roughly 50% brighter on the ring's sub-solar side than it is on the anti-solar side, while outside this region the two sides of the ring have nearly the same brightness. In both cases, the two sides of the ring were observed at nearly the same viewing geometry, so this difference cannot be a photometric effect. Instead, it appears that the total amount of material visible on the sub-solar ansa is higher than the total amount of material visible on the anti-solar ansa. This is a surprising result because while asymmetric forces like solar radiation pressure can generate differences in the radial distribution of material on opposite sides of the planet (Burns et al., 2001; Juhász and Horányi, 2004; Hamilton and Krüger, 2008), the ring should still consist of particles in orbit around the planet, so the total amount of material visible on both sides should be nearly the same (after accounting for variations in the particles' orbital speed with true anomaly).

This asymmetry becomes even more puzzling if we also take the ring's vertical warp into account. The above analysis of this warp indicates that most of the E-ring particles observed between 180,000 and 300,000 km have semi-major axes close to  $a_E = 240,000$  km. If this is correct, then the excess brightness between 180,000 and 240,000 km on the sunward ansa would be associated with particles near their orbital periapses. However, these same particles would be near their apoapses on the anti-solar side of the planet, so we would expect the anti-solar ansa to be brighter than the sub-solar ansa between 240,000 and 300,000 km, which is not the case (the brightness profiles are actually nearly identical exterior to 250,000 km). The relevant particles also probably cannot be hidden within Saturn's shadow or in a region far beyond 300,000 km, because this would produce systematic differences in the mean vertical offset profiles between the two ansa that are incompatible with the observations. If the particles responsible for the brightness excess had apoapses much less than 250,000 km or much greater than 300,000 km, then they would have significantly different semi-major axes and eccentricities from the particles with periapses on the ring's shadowed side. This would in turn alter the inclinations induced by the out-ofplane forces (see Section 7.1), yielding a different southward vertical offset on the sub-solar ansa than the anti-solar ansa, which is not observed (see Fig. 6). Thus reconciling these observations will pose a significant challenge to any theoretical and numerical models of the E ring.

In the absence of an obvious simple model for the E-ring's enhanced brightness near the sub-solar longitude, we will simply suggest some possible explanations that are worthy of future exploration. The most straightforward option is that there is some non-trivial correlation between particles' eccentricities and pericenters that crowd streamline trajectories between 180,000 and 250,000 km on the sunward side of the rings, and disperse the relevant material over a wide radius range at other longitudes, making the brightness excess at anti-solar longitudes difficult to detect. We could also consider more exotic scenarios, such as hour-angle variations in the individual ring particles' light-scattering properties, or the particles not following the expected Keplerian orbits due to some interaction with the magnetospheric plasma.

The remaining hour-angle asymmetries in the ring are less paradoxical. For example, unlike the sub-solar/anti-solar asymmetries discussed above, the differences between the morning and evening sides of the ring seen in VIO-filter data (see Fig. 10) probably can be attributed to differences in the eccentricity distributions of particles with pericenters on the morning and evening sides of the planet. Assuming that the brightness at a given radius is dominated by particles near their orbital pericenters or apocenters, then the shape of the brightness profile interior to Encealdus should reflect the eccentricity distribution of particles with longitudes of pericenter  $\varpi$  near the observed longitude. The morning ansa's profile is brighter that the evening ansa between 200,000 and 240,000 km (Fig. 10), and fainter interior to 200,000 km (Fig. 12). Thus the particles with  $\varpi \simeq \lambda_{\odot} - 90^{\circ}$  would appear to have an excess of low-eccentricity orbits and a depletion of high-eccentricity orbits compared to the particles with  $\varpi \simeq \lambda_{\odot}$  + 90°. Support for this interpretation of the data can be obtained by examining the brightness profiles exterior to Enceladus' orbit, where the relevant particles should reach their apoapses. In particular, the material that causes the morning ansa to appear brighter between 210,000 and 240,000 km should also cause the evening side of the rings to appear brighter between 250,000 km and 280,000 km, and such a brightness excess may be present in the dark blue VIO-filter profile of Fig. 10.

The position of the sharp edge in the morning ansa's brightness lies around 200,000 km, near the location of the tip of Saturn's shadow in the z = 0 plane (see Figs. 7 and 11). Thus the ring's brightness profile on the morning side of the planet and the lack of material on the morning edge of the planet's shadow could indicate that the particles with sufficiently high eccentricities to enter the shadow near periapse had their eccentricities reduced and their periapses raised until their orbits no longer intercepted the shadow. If we also consider the relevant orbital precession rates, such a scenario can even explain why the particles with periapses on the two sides of the ring have different eccentricity distributions.

If we neglect the effects of solar radiation pressure, then using Eqs. (30) and (31) of Hamilton (1993a) we can estimate the apsidal precession rate of the E-ring particles as:

$$\dot{\varpi}_x = n \left[ \frac{3J_2 R_p^2}{2a^2 (1 - e^2)^2} + \frac{2nL}{\Omega_p (1 - e^2)^{3/2}} \right]$$
(18)

(Note this expression differs from that given in Eq. (11) because it gives the precession rate of the pericenter longitude relative to an inertial direction instead of relative to the ascending node.) This equation is evaluated using the same parameters as discussed in Section 7.1 to yield:

$$\dot{\varpi}_{x} = 0.42^{\circ}/\text{day} \left[ 1 - 0.39 \frac{\Phi/(-2 \text{ V})}{(\text{s}/1 \ \mu\text{m})^{2}} \right]. \tag{19}$$

For these nominal values  $\dot{\varpi}_x > 0$  and it takes about 4 years for the particle's pericenter to drift all the way around the planet (in contrast to the simulations of Hamilton, 1993a, which assumed a larger nominal charge-to-mass ratio, so  $\dot{\varpi}_x < 0$ ). Thus particles with pericenters on the morning ansa had their periapses aligned with Saturn's shadow less than a year ago, while the particles with periapses on the evening ansa last had their pericenters aligned with Saturn's shadow over 3 years ago. The difference in timing between these "periapse shadow passages" is significant, because during the few years before these observations, the tip of Saturn's shadow has been gradually moving outward into the E ring. The tip of Saturn's shadow only reached into the E ring between 2005 and 2006, so E-ring particles with  $\varpi \sim \lambda_{\odot} - 90^{\circ}$  could have encountered Saturn's shadow during their last periapse shadow passage, while E-ring particles with  $\varpi \sim \lambda_{\odot}$  + 90° would not have been able to encounter the shadow during their last periapse shadow passage. Hence, only particles with periapses on the morning side of the rings would be likely to have their orbits altered by passage through Saturn's shadow, supporting the above notion that such shadow passages could have raised the relevant particle's orbital pericenters.

While these calculations are suggestive, it is important to note that the precession rate is sensitive to particle size, and the pericenter will regress instead of precess if *s* < 0.7 µm. Such particle-size-dependent variations in the precession rate can have important effects on the spatial distribution of E-ring particles (Hamilton and Burns, 1994; Horányi et al., 2008). Even though exploring these phenomena in detail is beyond the scope of this paper, we can at least point out that the particles cannot be too small if the precession rate is to be sufficiently large and positive for shadow-induced perturbation to be a plausible explanation for the morning-evening asymmetries. At the same time, the particles cannot be too large or else the expected non-gravitational forces would not be able to produce the observed large-scale warp in the ring (see above). Fortunately, the cameras are most sensitive to particles in the 0.5–1.0 µm size range, which is roughly compatible with both these constraints. This bodes well for future efforts to model these features, but it does suggest that there may only be a rather restricted range of particle parameters that will be consistent with all the observed E-ring structures.

Another asymmetry that may be associated with Saturn's shadow is the difference in the E-ring's vertical thickness between the sub-solar and anti-solar sides of the planet. As shown in Figs. 6 and 13, the ring is thicker on the anti-solar side of the rings at radii interior to  $a_E$ , and is thicker on the sub-solar side at larger radii. This suggests that particles with periapses on the planet's shadowed side have a broader range of inclinations than those with periapses on the planet's sunward side. So long as  $|\dot{\sigma}_x|$  is less than about 0.5°/day, particles with periapses on the sunward side of the planet in 2006 would not have encountered the shadow during their previous periapse shadow passage, while the particles with periapses on the anti-solar side of the planet would have entered the shadow. Thus only the latter particles are likely to experience shadow-induced perturbations, which may have excited inclinations and produced a thicker ring.

Despite the above evidence for the shadow's role in generating these asymmetries, the precise physical processes involved remain unclear and require further examination. For example, the ring's brightness profile on the morning side of the planet and the lack of material on the morning edge of the planet's shadow both suggest that particles with sufficiently high eccentricities to enter the shadow near periapse had their eccentricities reduced and their periapses raised until their orbits no longer intercepted the shadow. However, published theoretical studies of the orbital perturbations induced by a planet's shadow have focused on the reduction in solar radiation pressure and the Lorentz forces associated with charge variations (Horányi and Burns, 1991; Juhász and Horányi, 2004; Hamilton and Krüger, 2008), both of which are primarily radial perturbations that cannot efficiently reduce orbital eccentricities when applied near periapse (Burns, 1976). Thus more complex interactions between the ring particles and the plasma environment in the vicinity of Saturn's shadow may need to be considered. Indeed, recent plasma measurements within the core of the E ring may imply that dusty plasma phenomena could be relevant to the charge state of the E-ring particles (Wahlund et al., 2009).

The above discussions demonstrate that more work needs to be done to identify the forces responsible for the observed hour-angle asymmetries in the E ring. However, a fully self-consistent model of the ring will not only have to reproduce the observed asymmetries, but also explain why the expected asymmetries from wellstudied non-gravitational perturbations such as solar radiation pressure are not obvious in the observed data. For example, including solar radiation pressure introduces an asymmetry into the equations of motion that prevents particles' orbits from maintaining a fixed eccentricity as they precess around the planet. Instead, there is a specific solution with a finite "forced eccentricity"  $e_f$  that maintains a fixed orientation with respect to the Sun, and in general the particles' orbits oscillate around this steady-state solution (Hedman et al., 2010). Using Eqs. (27), (31) and (32) in Hamilton (1993a) and again approximating  $1 - e^2$  as unity (see above), we can derive the eccentricity  $e_f$  and longitude of pericenter  $\varpi_f$  of this steady-state solution:

$$\cos(\overline{\omega}_f - \lambda_{\odot}) = -\operatorname{sign}(\dot{\overline{\omega}}_x) \tag{20}$$

$$\boldsymbol{e}_f = \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\boldsymbol{\varpi}_x} \right|,\tag{21}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\varpi_x$  are given by Eqs. (13) and (19), respectively. As before, inserting the numerical values for the relevant parameters used in Section 7.1, we find that the forced eccentricity is:

$$e_f = 0.081 \beta \left| 1 - 0.39 \frac{\Phi/(-2 \text{ V})}{(\text{s}/1 \ \mu\text{m})^2} \right|^{-1}$$
 (22)

Regardless of the sign of  $\dot{\varpi}_x$ , such a large  $e_f$  means that the various particles' orbits will have either pericenters that remain on one side of the planet or substantially different eccentricities when the pericenters are on the sub-solar and anti-solar sides of the ring. Any model for the brightness asymmetries between the sub-solar and anti-solar sides of the rings will therefore have to account for this forced eccentricity.

# 8. Summary and conclusions

Images obtained in 2005–2006 have both confirmed the existence of previously detected E-ring structures, and have also revealed several new features. The E-ring structural properties found in this analysis include:

- The vertical particle density profile of the ring at radii greater than 280,000 km and less than 230,000 km can be best fit by a Lorentzian function.
- Between 230,000 and 280,000 km, there is a localized depletion in brightness density relative to the best-fit Lorentzian profile within  ${\sim}1000$  km of Saturn's equator plane.
- The ring's peak brightness density shifts from roughly 1000 km south of Saturn's equator plane to roughly 1000 km north of Saturn's equator plane between the orbits of Mimas and Tethys.
- The ring's vertical width increases with distance from Enceladus' semi-major axis.
- The ring's overall brightness and vertical structure do not vary significantly with longitude relative to Enceladus.
- The ring's vertical thickness varies with longitude relative to the Sun, being thicker on the anti-solar side of the planet interior to Enceladus' semi-major axis and thicker on the sub-solar side further from Saturn.
- The mean vertical offsets in the ring's peak brightness density do not vary with longitude relative to the Sun.
- The ring's brightness profiles on the morning and evening sides of the planet differ interior to Enceladus' semi-major axis, with the morning profile showing a sharp edge around 200,000 km.
- The ring's brightness profiles on the sub-solar and anti-solar sides of the planet differ interior to Enceladus' semi-major axis, with the sub-solar side being intrinsically brighter.

We have only just begun efforts to interpret these features in terms of particle dynamics. The overall vertical warp can be reasonably attributed to the vertical components of solar radiation pressure and Lorentz forces from Saturn's offset dipole field, and the particle depletion close to the orbit of Enceladus is consistent with gravitational perturbations from that moon. However, the various hour-angle asymmetries are still difficult to understand, so additional work will be needed in order to fully understand the azimuthal structure of this ring.

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of NASA, the Cassini project and the Cassini Imaging Team. This work was supported by grants from the Cassini Data Analysis, Planetary Geology and Geophysics, and Outer Planets Research programs. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

#### References

Agarwal, M., Burns, J.A., Hedman, M.M., Tiscareno, M.S. 2011. The dynamics of particles in Saturn's E ring near Enceadus' orbit, in preparation.

Burns, J.A., 1976. Elementary derivation of the perturbation equations of celestial mechanics. Am. J. Phys. 44, 944–949. Burns, J.A., Schaffer, L., 1989. Orbital evolution of circumplanetary dust by resonant charge variations. Nature 337, 340–343.

Burns, J.A., Lamy, P.L., Soter, S., 1979. Radiation forces on small particles in the solar system. Icarus 40, 1–48.

- Burns, J.A., Hamilton, D.P., Showalter, M.R., 2001. Dusty rings and circumplanetary dust: Observations and simple physics. In: Grün, E., Gustafson, B., Dermott, S., Fecthig, H. (Eds.), Interplanetary Dust. Springer, pp. 641–725.
- de Pater, I., Martin, S.C., Showalter, M.R., 2004. Keck near-infrared observations of Saturn's E and G rings during Earth's ring plane crossing in August 1995. Icarus 172, 446–454.
- Gombosi, T.I. et al., 2009. Saturn's magnetospheric configuration. In: Dougherty, M.K., Esposito, L.W., Krimigis, S.M. (Eds.), Saturn from Cassini-Huygens. Springer, Dordrecht Heidelberg, pp. 203–255.
- Hamilton, D.P., 1993a. Motion of dust in a planetary magnetosphere Orbitaveraged equations for oblateness, electromagnetic, and radiation forces with application to Saturn's E ring. Icarus 101, 244–264.
- Hamilton, D.P., 1993b. Erratum: Motion of dust in a planetary magnetosphere: Orbit-averaged equations for oblateness, electromagnetic, and radiation forces with application to Saturn's E-ring. Icarus 103, 161.
- Hamilton, D.P., Burns, J.A., 1994. Origin of Saturn's E ring: Self-sustained, naturally. Science 264, 550–553.
- Hamilton, D.P., Krüger, H., 2008. The sculpting of Jupiter's gossamer rings by its shadow. Nature 453, 72–75.
- Hedman, M.M. et al., 2009. Spectral observations of the Enceladus plume with Cassini-VIMS. ApJ 693, 1749–1762.
- Hedman, M.M., Burt, J.A., Burns, J.A., Tiscareno, M.S., 2010. The shape and dynamics of a heliotropic dusty ringlet in the Cassini division. Icarus 210, 284–297.
- Hillier, J.K. et al., 2007. The composition of Saturn's E ring. Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc. 377, 1588–1596.
- Horányi, M., Burns, J.A., 1991. Charged dust dynamics Orbital resonance due to planetary shadows. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 19283–19289.
- Horányi, M., Burns, J.A., Tatrallyay, M., Luhmann, J.G., 1990. Toward understanding the fate of dust lost from the martian satellites. Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 853–856.
- Horányi, M., Burns, J.A., Hamilton, D.P., 1992. The dynamics of Saturn's E ring particles. Icarus 97, 248–259.
- Horányi, M., Burns, J.A., Hedman, M.M., Jones, G.H., Kempf, S., 2009. Diffuse rings. In: Dougherty, M.K., Esposito, L.W., Krimigis, S.M. (Eds.), Saturn from Cassini-Huygens. Springer, London, pp. 511–536.
- Horányi, M., Juhász, A., Morfill, G.E., 2008. Large-scale structure of Saturn's E-ring. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35, 4203.
- Ingersoll, A., Ewald, S., 2011. Total particulate mass in Enceladus plumes and mass of Saturn's E ring inferred from Cassini ISS images. Icarus 216, 492–506.
- Jacobson, R.A. et al., 2006. The gravity field of the saturnian system from satellite observations and spacecraft tracking data. Astronom. J. 132, 2520–2526.
- Jones, G.H. et al., 2009. Fine jet structure of electrically charged grains in Enceladus' plume. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L16204.
- Juhász, A., Horányi, M., 2002. Saturn's E ring: A dynamical approach. J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.) 107, 1066.
- Juhász, A., Horányi, M., 2004. Seasonal variations in Saturn's E-ring. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, L19703.
- Juhász, A., Horányi, M., Morfill, G.E., 2007. Signatures of Enceladus in Saturn's E ring. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, L09104.

- Kempf, S., Beckmann, U., Srama, R., Horanyi, M., Auer, S., Grün, E., 2006. The electrostatic potential of E ring particles. Planet. Space Sci. 54, 999–1006.
- Kempf, S., Beckmann, U., Moragas-Klostermeyer, G., Postberg, F., Srama, R., Economou, T., Schmidt, J., Spahn, F., Grün, E., 2008. The E ring in the vicinity of Enceladus. I. Spatial distribution and properties of the ring particles. Icarus 193, 420–437.
- Kempf, S., Beckmann, U., Schmidt, J., 2010. How the Enceladus dust plume feeds Saturn's E ring. Icarus 206, 446–457.
- Kurth, W.S., Averkamp, T.F., Gurnett, D.A., Wang, Z., 2006. Cassini RPWS observations of dust in Saturn's E ring. Planet. Space Sci. 54, 988–998.
- Nicholson, P.D. et al., 1996. Observations of Saturn's ring-plane crossings in August and November 1995. Science 272, 509–515.
- Porco, C.C. et al., 2004. Cassini imaging science: Instrument characteristics and anticipated scientific investigations at Saturn. Space Sci. Rev. 115, 363–497.
- Postberg, F., Kempf, S., Hillier, J.K., Srama, R., Green, S.F., McBride, N., Grün, E., 2008. The E-ring in the vicinity of Enceladus. II. Probing the moon's interior – The composition of E-ring particles. Icarus 193, 438–454.
- Roddier, C., Roddier, F., Graves, J.E., Northcott, M.J., 1998. Discovery of an arc of particles near Enceladus' orbit: A possible key to the origin of the E ring. Icarus 136, 50–59.
- Schaffer, L., Burns, J.A., 1987. The dynamics of weakly charged dust Motion through Jupiter's gravitational and magnetic fields. J. Geophys. Res. 92, 2264– 2280.
- Schenk, P., Hamilton, D.P., Johnson, R.E., McKinnon, W.B., Paranicas, C., Schmidt, J., Showalter, M.R., 2001. Plasma, plumes, and rings: Saturn system dynamics as recorded in global color patterns on its midsize icy satellites. Icarus 211, 740– 757.
- Shafiq, M., Wahlund, J.-E., Morooka, M.W., Kurth, W.S., Farrell, W.M., 2011. Characteristics of the dust–plasma interaction near Enceladus' South Pole. Planet. Space Sci. 59, 17–25.
- Showalter, M.R., 1985. Jupiter's Ring System Resolved: Physical Properties Inferred from the Voyager Images. Ph. D. thesis. Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY.
- Showalter, M.R., Burns, J.A., Cuzzi, J.N., Pollack, J.B., 1987. Jupiter's ring system New results on structure and particle properties. Icarus 69, 458–498.
- Showalter, M.R., Cuzzi, J.N., Larson, S.M., 1991. Structure and particle properties of Saturn's E ring. Icarus 94, 451–473.
- Spencer, J.R. et al., 2009. Enceladus: An active cryovolcanic satellite. In: Dougherty, M.K., Esposito, L.W., Krimigis, S.M. (Eds.), Saturn from Cassini-Huygens. Springer, New York, pp. 683–724.
- Srama, R. et al., 2006. In situ dust measurements in the inner saturnian system. Planet. Space Sci. 54, 967–987.
- van de Hulst, H.C., 1957. Light Scattering by Small Particles. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Verbiscer, A.R., French, M., Showalter, P., 2007. Helfenstein Enceladus: Cosmic graffiti artist caught in the act. Science 315, 815.
- Wahlund, J.-E. et al., 2009. Detection of dusty plasma near the E-ring of Saturn. Planet. Space Sci. 57, 1795–1806.
- West, R. et al., 2010. In-flight calibration of the Cassini imaging science sub-system cameras. Planet. Space Sci. 58, 1475–1488.
- Yaroshenko, V.V. et al., 2009. Characteristics of charged dust inferred from the Cassini RPWS measurements in the vicinity of Enceladus. Planet. Space Sci. 57, 1807–1812.