







- Beyond the boundary of the little patch of the early universe that inflated to encompass the whole of our visible universe may lie many other such causally linked patches that can all undergo varying amounts of inflation
- http://www.ras.org. uk/pdfs/Barrow.pdf



So it's settled? + Well, no. It shouldn't be - it's science! Inflation has its problems + does not come 'naturally' out of string theory (which many believe is the basis for a grand unified theory) + No one knows what did the inflating. Theorists describe the 'force' as a field and give it a name - the inflaton - but the mystery remains. It is the same frustration as dark energy + Its not clear how to stop it ! + Inflation is not a theory of how it all began, but a theory of how it all began just after the beginning.. a morning-after theory. + In eternal inflation an infinite number of bubbles form with an infinite variety of properties. Everything that can happen does happen in some bubble. A theory that predicts everything predicts nothing. 6

An alternative: the ekpyrotic proposal

- Ekpyrotic: the universe is created in a distributed and sudden burst of high but finite temperature-collision of 2 'branes'
- + Ekpyrotic universes can be cyclical, helping solve the "why just then?" problem.
- This proposal uses superstring theory; here the Universe appears to be four dimensional, but the four are embedded in a larger 5-9 dimensional spacetime (with more dimensions curled up so we can't easily see them)









So what's ekpyrotic* about this? + Replace inflation by something quite different: the face-face collision of two neighboring branes. + Dark energy stretches the branes ultra-flat before collision, but quantum fluctuations leave little wrinkles that later turn into structure (e.g. galaxies). + The collision releases energy nearly simultaneously throughout the universe (ekpyrosis*!), and the branes bounce apart. + Then the usual expansion of spacetime, temperature evolution, nucleosynthesis etc. runs on afterwards. + the branes can collide cyclically. *a Stoic belief in the periodic destruction of the cosmos by a great conflagration every Great Year. The cosmos is then recreated (palingenesis) only to be destroyed again at the end of the new cycle. http://www.princeton.edu/~steinh/npr/ 12





Why are Things the Way that they are

- By our very existence, we impose a sort of selection effect on the Universe. For example, in a Universe where just one of the fundamental constants that govern nature was changed say, the strength of gravity - we cannot exist !
- + Weak Anthropic Principle (WAP):
 - + the observed values of all physical and cosmological quantities are <u>not</u> equally probable but they take on the values restricted by the requirement that there exist places where carbon-based life *can* evolve and by the requirement that the Universe be old enough for it to have already done so." (The Anthropic Cosmological Principle by John Barrow and Frank Tipler, p. 16)











| | <i>Life On Earth: How Long it Took to Develop</i> | | |
|-----|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Sta | ge | Development | Elapsed time [Myr] |
| 1 | | Microbial life | <500 |
| 2 | | Oxygen atmosphere | 1000 |
| 3 | | Multicellular life | 2000 |
| 4 | | Life on land | 100 |
| 5 | | Animal intelligence | 150 |
| 6 | | Human intelligence | .3-3? |















Some of the Necessary Qualities this needed Universe to create US:

- ★ <u>The Universe is "flat"</u> if more matter had been in the Universe then gravity would have collapsed the Universe before life began if it had less matter everything would have been too far apart to interact properly to create life.
- + <u>The existence of matter</u> super-symmetry indicates that matter and anti-matter should have been created in equal amounts at the Big Bang but there was ~1% less anti-matter created so that when matter and anti-matter annihilated each other there was some left to create us.
- + If the mass of a neutron was 0.2% heavier, protons would collapse into them so creating no elements.
- + The <u>fine structure constant</u>: if it had been slightly higher all early hydrogen would be turned into helium, preventing chemistry
- + Carbon would be much rarer were it not for the triple-a nuclear fusion process in stars. If oxygen had a nuclear resonance a little lower, all the carbon would have rapidly changed to oxygen.
- <u>stars</u> were needed to create some of the heavier elements and to then eject it via supernovae to form new stars and planet systems. If the <u>force of gravity and</u> <u>other constants hadn't been just right, no heavy element synthesis would occur.</u>
- + http://www.hollowsun.co.uk/list-of-anthropic-coincidences-in-the-univers
 - + The premise of the fine-tuned universe assertion is that a small change in several of the dimensionless fundamental physical constants would make the universe radically different.
 - "The laws of science, as we know them at present, contain many fundamental numbers, like the size of the electric charge of the electron and the ratio of the masses of the proton and the electron. ... The remarkable fact is that the values of these numbers seem to have been very finely adjusted to make possible the development of life."-Stephen Hawking
 - + Martin Rees (Astronomer Royal) formulates the fine-tuning of the universe in terms of the following 5 dimensionless constants :
 - + ratio of the strength of electromagnetism to that of gravity
 - + strength of the nuclear force
 - + relative importance of gravity
 - + cosmological constant
 - + number of spatial dimensions (!!)
 - + if any of these differed even a small bit from the observed values we would not exist





- + Anthropic Cosmological Principle: the existence of intelligent observers determines the fundamental structure of the Universe.
- Beware of the Completely Ridiculous Anthropic Principle e.g. is this simply a elaborate way of saying "if things were different, they would be different,"
- If it is not testable or falsifiable, it is not a scientific statement but rather a philosophical one.



























| So where are we? |
|---|
| + For us: |
| + We are not at the center of the universe. |
| + Ordinary planet, star, galaxy, maybe universe. |
| Space and time depend on our point of view (reference frame). |
| We understand a tremendous amount about the characteristics of our universe |
| + Flat universe, expands forever. |
| Started with a rapid expansion of space and time, a hot "Big Bang." |
| + Still expanding, even accelerating. |
| + Gravity is a puzzle. |
| + Time itself is a puzzle. |
| + Conditions of our universe allow life and structure to |
| exist |
| +Structure, stars, galaxies 46 |
| + Life |

