

RECAP

Kerr black holes

- No hair theorem any (isolated) black hole is determined purely by its mass, spin and charge.
- Ergosphere region containing energy of rotation. Impossible to stand still there... must rotate in same sense as the black hole
- Event horizon is smaller for spinning black holes. No horizon at all for a>1 (superspinners), although these may not exist
- Can extract more energy from Kerr black holes
- Special orbits around black holes
 - Innermost stable circular orbit
 - Photon circular orbit

This class

- Start the discussion of real black holes
- Focus on "stellar mass black holes"
- Come from the death of stars... so must first study the life of stars!
- Two case studies...
 - Low mass star (M<8M_{sun})
 - High mass star (M>8M_{sun})

Homework 4 due, Homework 5 see ELMS Due March 5





I : Some reminders about stars

- Stars have variety of...
 - Colors (Temperature; 3000K-30000K)
 - Luminosities $(0.001L_{sun} 100,000L_{sun})$
 - If we plot the luminosity and temperature/color of a collection of stars (Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram), we find distinct patterns emerging... most stars lie on a line called the main sequence.

I : Some reminders about stars

- Differences mostly due to mass and age of star:
 - Main sequence is the normal/long-lived part of the stars live. This is the H→He fusion phase.
 - Location on main sequence determined by mass (high-mass = hot and luminous low-mass = cool and dim).
 - Stars leave the main sequence and move around the HR-diagram as they age.



Gaia Collaboration et al.: Gaia Data Release 2: Observational Hertzsprung-Russell diagrams



HR Diagram from GAIA-For a old ensemble of stars – color coded by metallicity





Location of famous stars on H-R Diagram

(a) A Hertzsprung-Russell (H-R) diagram

(b) The sizes of stars on an H-R diagram

Stellar Sizes/Luminosity/Temperature



http://www.physics.isu.edu/~hackmart/spectral_class.pdf



II : Hydrogen burning

Basic process during main sequence: 4H → ⁴He
0.7% of mass is converted to energy...

 $efficiency = \frac{energy \ released}{(total \ mass \ processed)c^2}$

- About 10⁶ times more efficient than chemical burning
- But, eventually, the star runs out of hydrogen in its core. For all but the most massive stars, the time until the star runs out of hydrogen is

$$\tau \approx 1.0 \times 10^{10} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-2.5} \, \mathrm{yr}$$



Fusion Power

UNLIMITED ENERGY

Fusion, the nuclear reaction that powers the Sun and the stars, is a potential source of safe, non-carbon emitting and virtually limitless energy.

 $\sim 10^6$ times more energy per gram than burning oil/gas.

So far 16 MW for less than a second) by the fusion of about 0.5 g of deuterium/tritium (isotopes of Hydrogen)

Conditions need a temperature of $\sim 10^8 k$



ITER-designed to harness the energy of fusion



"Astrophysics is a fight between gravity and everything else"

Prof. Cole Miller (UMd)

III : Post-MS evolution of <u>low-</u> <u>mass</u> star (M< $8M_{sun}$)

- Once hydrogen runs out in core after millions of years...
 - Energy production stops
 - Core contracts (gravity no longer balanced by outward flow of energy)
 - Envelope of star expands → Red Giant
 - Core contraction → heating → helium fusion! (provided that M>0.4M_{sun})

$$3He \rightarrow C$$

- Star expels envelope in series of explosive events (nova) → planetary nebula
- He or C core remains as a white dwarf (stellar mass but size of Earth)

Off the MS

- He burning only releases ~20% of the energy that H burning produces
- Lifetime in the He burning phase is short...
- ~ 2x10⁹ yrs for a solar mass star
- Stars on the giant branch are *very* luminous







http://astronomyonline.org Copyright Addison Wesley







This produces a maximum mass which can be supported known as the **Chandraskhar Limit**, and is $M_{ch} = 1.44 M_{sun}$

Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar

Important work on understanding of stellar structure, white dwarfs, stellar dynamics, radiative transfer, quantum theory of the hydrogen anion, hydrodynamic and hydromagnetic stability, turbulence, general relativity, mathematical theory of black holes and theory of colliding gravitational waves



A Little History

http://www-news.uchicago.edu/releases/95/950822.chandrasekhar.shtml

In 1930, at the age of 19, Chandrasekhar completed college and boarded a boat to England for postgraduate study at Cambridge University.

While on the voyage, he developed a theory about the nature of stars for which he would be awarded the Nobel Prize 53 years later. His theory challenged the common scientific notion of the 1930s that all stars, after burning up their fuel, became faint, planet-sized remnants known as white dwarfs. He determined that stars with a mass greater than 1.4 times that of the sun-now known as the "Chandrasekhar mass" – must eventually collapse past the stage of a white dwarf into an object of such enormous density that "one is left speculating on other possibilities," he wrote.

Initially his theory was rejected by peers and professional journals in England. The distinguished astronomer Sir Arthur Eddington publicly ridiculed his suggestion that stars could collapse into such objects, which are now known as black holes.

Chandrasekhar Mass

Combined general relativity with quantum mechanics to derive the maximum mass of a white dwarf (1.4 M_{sun})

Above this mass it must collapse either into a neutron star or a black hole

Chandrasekhar's work on the limit aroused controversy, owing to the opposition of the British astrophysicist Arthur Eddington. Eddington was aware that the existence of **black hole**s was theoretically possible, and also realized that the existence of the limit made their formation possible. However, he was unwilling to accept that this could happen. After a talk by Chandrasekhar in 1935, he replied:

The star has to go on radiating and radiating and contracting and contracting until, I suppose, it gets down to a few km radius, when gravity becomes strong enough to hold in the radiation, and the star can at last find peace. ... I think there should be a law of Nature to prevent a star from behaving in this absurd way!^[25]

II : Evolution of a high-mass star

- Stars with M>8M_{sun} take a different path... core gets hot enough that nuclear burning can proceed beyond Carbon
 - There is a sequence of reactions that go all of the way from H to Fe (iron)
 - The fusion reactions get less and less efficient as the sequence proceeds... mass must be processed as a progressively faster rate in order to satisfy stars demand for energy
 - Iron is the end of the road... it has the most stable nucleus and so you cannot extract energy by fusing it
 - Star ends up with a onion-like structure... an iron core surrounded by a shell of Si→Fe burning, which is surrounded by a shell of O→Si burning etc.

Pre-Supernova Stellar Structure



SN1987A- AKA SK-69-202 - Short But Exciting Life Original Mass = 18 $\rm M_{\odot}$



Grou 1 1A 18 8A Alkalai metal Post-transition metal 2 He Helium 4.0026 10 1 H Iydroge 1.0078 Alkaline earth metals Metalloids Atomic number Na — Element symbol Sodium — Element name 22.990 — Atomic weight 11- Atomic number Lanthanides Other nonmetals 13 3A 14 4A 15 5A 16 6A 17 7A 2A Actinides Halogens 3 Li Lithium 6.938 11 4 Be Berylliun 9.0122 5 B Boron 10.806 9 F Fluorine 18.998 7 N 6 C Carbo 12.00 8 O Oxygen 15.999 Neon 20.18 Transition metals Noble gases 2 Nitroge 14.006 Unknown properties 13 Al Aluminun 26.982 15 P hosphore 30.974 12 Mg Magnesiu 24.305 14 Si Silicon 28.084 16 **S** Sulfur 32.059 17 Cl Chlorine 35.446 18 11 Na Sodium 22.990 19 K Potassium 39.098 Argon 39.948 7 7B 8 10 11 1B 12 2B Photoph Photoph 28.084 30.97 32 33 Ge As Germanium Arsenii 72.63 74.922 50 51 Sn Sb Tin Antimon 118.71 121.76 82 83 Pb Bi Load Bismuth 202.988 114 114 115 FL Uup Vervirum Unnearchean 9 8B 6 6B э 3В 5B 4B 48 22 **Ti** Titanium 47.867 40 **Zr** Zirconium 91.224 72 24.305 20 Ca Calcium 40.078 38 Sr Strontium 87.62 21 Sc Scandium 44.956 39 Y Yttrium 88.906 23 24 V Vanadium 50.942 51.996 25 Mn Manganes 54.938 27 **Co** Cobalt 58.933 29 Cu Copper 63.546 47 36 **Kr** 30 Zn 2inc 65.38 48 Cd Cadmium 112.41 80 31 Ga Gallium 69.723 49 In Indium 114.82 34 Selenium 78.96 52 Te Tellurium 127.60 84 Po 35 Br 9.904 53 1 Iodine 126.90 Ni Nickel 58.693 46 Fe Iron 55.845 Period Krypto 83.798 54 44 Ru autheniu 101.07 45 57 Rb Rubidium 85.468 55 41 42 43 Tc Technetiu 98.9062 Rhodium 102.91 Niobium 92.906 Mo lolybden 95.96 Pd Palladiu 106.42 Xe Xenon 131.29 Ag Silver 107.87 79 Au Gold 196.97 74 W Tungster 183.84 77 **Ir** Iridium 192.22 85 At Astatir (210) 56 **Ba** Barium 137.33 78 Pt Platinur 195.08 81 **Tl** Thallium 204.38 72 Hf 73 75 Re 76 **Os** 86 55 Cesium 132.91 87 Fr Francium (223) Tantalum 180.95 Hg Mercury 200.59 Radon (222) Rhenium 186.21 Osmium 190.23 Hafnium 178.49 (209) 112 Cn pernicit (268) 88 Ra Radium 106 Sg Seaborgium 107 Bh Bohrium (264) 108 Hs Hassium (269) 111 **Rg** 104 Rf 105 Db 109 Mt 110 Ds 113 Uut 116 Lv 117 Uus 118 Uuo Dubnium Ununtrium unsepti (268) (268) (268) (268) (268) (268) (268) (268) (268) (226) (261) (262) Cerium 140.12 Eu aropiu 151.96 Gd adoliniu 157.25 Ho Holmiun 164.93 Erbium 167.26 Yb Ytterbiur 173.04 Lu Lutetium 174.97 La Pr Nd Pm Sm Tb Terbium 158.93 Dy Tm Thuliun 168.93 Lanthan amariu 150.36 /sprosit 162.50 138.91 140.91 144.24 (145) 98 Cf 92 U Th Cm Pu Bk Es Fm Lr Ac Pa Np Am Md No

Periodic Table of the Elements

SOURCES: National Institute of Standards and Technology. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

KARL TATE / © LiveScience.com

Which elements are made where

H		ſ		Big Ban		Large Super-										He	
ų	Be	1	Cosmic		Small		all	Man-		B	ę.	N	0	F	No		
Na	Mg		<u>_</u>	rays		•	sta	rs L		mad	e	A	ş	P	S.	çı	Ar
ĸ	C.a	SC	T	X.	ç	Mn	f:	Co	NI	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Te	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	Į.	Sn	Sb	Te	1	Xe
Cs	Ba	-	H.	P	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Ha	T	Pb	BI	Po	At	Rn
ę.	Ra	7	La	Ce	Pr	Md	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
		L	Ac	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	c	Es	Fm	Md	No	L.

What happens next?

- Once iron is reached, fusion stops in core
- Without energy production, core gets crushed
- When M_{core}~1.4M_{sun}, pressure forces become incapable of supporting core... core undergoes catastrophic gravitational collapse (in less than a second)- Chandrasekhar mass

What happens next?

- Energetics of core collapse...
 - releases about 10⁴⁶ watts-(~10¹² years of suns luminosity)
 - 99% emerge as neutrinos
 - Star is blown apart... core collapse supernova
 - 1% of energy (10⁴⁴J) emerges as radiation and kinetic energy- as bright as all the stars in the MilkyWay for a few weeks
- Fusion reactions during the supernova responsible for all elements heavier than iron

Binding energy of Nuclei - why stellar burning stops generating energy



Why nucleosynthesis stops at Fe









(a) Spiral galaxy M81

(b) Before the explosion

(c) After the explosion



Luminosity of SN \sim that of the host galaxy

- What happens to the core?
- If M<20M_{sun}
 - Can become a **neutron star** (M~1.5-2M_{sun},R~10km)
 - Matter gets "neutronized"

$$p + e^- \rightarrow n + \nu$$

- If M>20M_{sun}
 - Core can collapse all of the way to a black hole
 - M~3-20M_{sun}, R_{Sch}=5-60km
 - Actually recent research shows that both NS and BHs can form from a wide range of mass.

Beyond neutron stars...

- Suppose collapsing core has mass that exceeds maximum mass for a neutron star
- What then when the gravitational attraction exceeds the degeneracy pressure?
- We know of no physics that can prevent a <u>total</u> gravitational collapse of the core
 BH



Massive Star Collapse-Type II SN

- Collapse of a massive star- the cores mass 'burns' into iron nuclei and has a maximum mass determined by the Chandrasekhar limit, $\sim 1.4 M_{\odot}$.
- Natural from stellar evolution
- Leaves NS or BH or maybe no remnant
- Wide range of masses, metallicities, binarity etc make for wide range of properties

Physics of explosion is VERY complex •Most of the explosion energy is carried away by neutrinos-•Nobel prize 2002

• Uncertain explosion mechanism details involve neutrinos, probably large-scale shock instabilities, rotation, possibly magnetic fields 42





It Ain't Simple

- implosion of stellar cores
- Violent, large-scale nonradial mass motions are generic in supernova cores
- We are made of stuff that was once in the middle of a massive star.

(see http:// astronomyonline.org/Stars/ Papers/ AlexNervosaSupernova.pdf)

Simulation of SN a few seconds after explosion



Type IIs

- total "optical" energy $\sim 10^{49}$ erg radiated as photons.
- several solar masses ejected at ~1%c- expands rapidly The kinetic energy ~10⁵¹erg



45

Evolution into a SNR-Radio Emission

- Radio VLBI has the sensitivity and resolution to map nearby SN as they turn into SNR (Bartel et al Astronomy Reports 2017 61,299)
- 50d-8 years of images of SN 1993J





SuperNova Remnants

Whats left after the explosion Supernova remnants powered by expansion energy of supernova ejecta, dissipated as the debris collides with interstellar material generating shocks $T \sim 10^{6-7}$ K characteristic thermal emission is Xrays

timescale ~100-10,000 years



Evolution of 1987A in optical, x-ray,radio and IR-Still no central point source (NS or BH) visible

SuperNova Remnant Cas-A

Exploded in ~1670 But not seen

Each color in this x-ray image corresponds to emission by a different element (e.g. O,Ne,Mg,Si,S,Fe)

type II SN **ejects** the previously made elements+heavier elements made in the explosion





 Type II produces: mainly O -- high O/Fe ratio

49

Plot of NS/BH Progenitor Masses from Supernova

- NS masses in purple, black hole in gray
- (progenitor star mass in orange, core in green)



FIG. 1.— Baryonic remnant masses as a function of the progenitor ZAMS mass, for the central engine W18. Neutron star remnant masses from successful explosions are shown in purple. The range

Masses of Compact Objects

- Masses of NS cluster around 1.4M_{sun} (some up to 2 M_{sun})
- Separate population of objects *BHs
- ~20 black holes with a dynamical measurement of the mass+>10 via GW

