# Local Group See S&G ch 4<sub>MBW fig 2.31</sub>

- Our galactic neighborhood consists of one more 'giant' spiral (M31, Andromeda), a smaller spiral M33 and lots of (>35 galaxies), most of which are dwarf ellipticals and irregulars with low mass; most are satellites of MW, M31 or M33
- The gravitational interaction between these systems is complex but the local group is apparently bound.
- Major advantages
  - close and bright- all nearby enough that individual stars can be well measured as well as HI, H<sub>2</sub>, IR, x-ray sources and even γ-rays
  - wider sample of universe than MW (e.g. range of metallicities, star formation rate etc etc) to be studied in detail



-allows study of dark matter on larger scales and first glimpse at galaxy formation

-calibration of Cepheid distance scale

ARA&A1999, V 9, pp 273-318 The local group of galaxies S. van den Bergh Star formation histories in local group dwarf galaxies Skillman, Evan D. 1 New Astronomy Reviews, v. 49, iss. 7-9 p. 453-460.



https:// sciencesprings.word press.com/tag/ milky-way/

Local Group. Andrew Z. Colvin 3 March 2011

# Image of Local Group to Scale S&G Fig 4.1



**Fig. 4.1.** Galaxies of the Local Group, shown to the same linear scale, and to the same level of surface brightness. The spiral -3 and irregular galaxies stand out clearly, while the dwarf spheroidals are barely visible – B. Binggeli.

### Local Group Galaxies -Wide Range of Luminosity

 Local Group dwarfs galaxies trace out a narrow line in the surface brightness luminosity- plane

(Tolstoy et al 2009) see table 4.1 in S&G



# Comparison of Galaxies and Globulars

- Comparison of • More Compact Less Compact dwarf galaxies -12Globular clusters + Brighter × Recently Found Halo Clusters in the local -10group- plot of absolute - 5 magnitude vs size M v (mag) - + areglobular -2clusters MW Dwarfs M31 Dwarfs 0 **DES** Candidates Tainter Others Candidates DES New Candidates  $10^{1}$  $10^{2}$  $10^{3}$ Half-light Radius (pc)
  - Figure 2. Absolute magnitude versus half-light radius for globular clusters and dwart

# Wide Range of Luminosities/ Chemical Abundance

- MW/M31~ $2x10^{10}L_{v\odot}$
- LMC~ $2x10^9L_{v\odot}$
- Formax dSph  $1 \times 10^7 \text{ }_{v} \text{L}_{\odot}$
- Carina dSph 3x10<sup>5</sup>L<sub>v☉</sub>
- Because of closeness and relative brightness of stars the Color Magnitude Diagram combined with Spectroscopy of resolved stars can produce 'accurate'
  - star formation histories
  - Chemical evolution



Despite wide variety of 'local' environments (near/far from MW/M31) trends in chemical composition seem to depend primarily on galaxies properties 6

# Star Formation Histories

- Analysis of CMDs shows presence of both old and (some) young stars in the dwarfs -complex SF history
- The galaxies <u>do not</u> show the same SF history- despite their physical proximity and being in a bound system
- Their relative chemical abundances show some differences with low metallicity stars in the MW.



### Star Formation Histories Local Group Dwarfs

- With HST can observed color magnitude diagram for individual stars in local group galaxies
- Using the techniques discussed earlier can invert this to get the star formation history
- Note 2 extremes: very old systems Cetus, wide range of SF histories (Leo A)
- (Tolstoy, Hill, Tosi Annual Reviews 2009)





# Different Places in the LMC

• Different parts of a galaxy can have different star formation histories





- Clear difference in metal generation compared to MW
  - Fe from type I SN
  - " $\alpha$ " from type II
    - "α" elements is O,Ne,Mg,Ar,Si,S,

11

- Overall metallicity of LG dwarfs is low :patterns but different to stars in MW (black dots- Tolstoy et al 2009)-
- How to reconcile their low observed metallicity with the fairly high SFR of the most metal-poor systems many of which are actively starforming
- best answer metal-rich gas outflows, e.g. galactic winds, triggered by supernova explosions in systems with shallow potential wells, efficiently remove the metal-enriched gas from the system.
- In Local Group wind models be well constrained by chemical abundance observations (later in lecture).

# Metallicities In LG Dwarfs Vs MW





#### Key parameters in chemical evolution:

- Lifetimes of stars (as a functior of mass)
- Mass distribution of stars at their birth
- Star formation rate
- Element production of stars
- Ejection mechanisms
- Mixing with interstellar gas
- Interaction with environment (gas inflow/outflow)

(diagram from Tinsley 1980, Fund. of Cosmic Physics, Vol. 5)

### **Conservation Equations**

• (7.1)  $M = M_s + M_g$ • (7.2)  $\frac{dM}{dt} = f - e$ (7.3)  $\frac{dM_s}{dt} = \Psi - E$ (7.4)  $\frac{dM_g}{dt} = -\Psi + E + f - e$   $M_g = \text{mass in stars}$   $M_g = \text{mass in gas}$   $M_g = \text{mass in gas}$ M

Maeder 1992  $f = e = 0, M_g(t = 0) = M, M_s(t = 0) = 0$  (closed-box-model): Closed Box Approximation-Tinsley 1980, Fund. Of Cosmic

Physics, 5, 287-388 Read S&G 4.3

- To get a feel for how chemical evolution and SF are related (S+G eqs 4.13-4.17)-
- at time t, mass  $\Delta M_{total}$  of stars formed, after the massive stars die left with  $\Delta M_{low mass}$  which live 'forever'
- massive stars inject into ISM a mass  $p\Delta M_{total}$  of heavy elements (p depends on the IMF and the yield of SN- normalized to total mass of stars).
- Assumptions: galaxies gas is well mixed, no infall or outflow, high mass stars return metals to ISM faster than time to form new stars)

15

# Formation of Elements ala S&G

- Mg(t) the mass of gas in the galaxy at time t
- M<sub>\*</sub>(t) the mass in low-mass stars and the white dwarfs, neutron stars and black the matter in these objects remains locked within them throughout the galaxy's lifetime)
- M<sub>h</sub>(t) is the total mass of elements heavier than helium in the gas;
- The metal abundance in the gas is then Z(t) =M<sub>h</sub>(t)/Mg(t).

When the massive stars end their lives, they leave behind a mass  $\Delta M_*(t)$  of low-mass stars and remnants, and return gas to the interstellar medium which includes a mass  $p\Delta M_*(t)$  of heavy elements.

The yield is  $\mathbf{p}$ 

### So

The mass  $M_h(t)$  of heavy elements in the interstellar gas changes as the metals produced by massive stars are returned to the gas phase

- while a mass Z  $\Delta M_{\star}(t)$  of these elements is locked into low-mass stars and remnants.
- Taking all these terms
- We have
- $\Delta M_{h}(t) = p \Delta M_{\star}(t) Z \Delta M_{\star}(t) = (p Z) \Delta M_{\star}(t)$

As the stars evolve the metallicity of the gas increases by  $\Delta Z = (M_h(t)/M(t)_g) = p\Delta M_*(t) - Z([\Delta M_*(t) + \Delta M_g(t)]/M_g(t) eq 4.14$ Closed box approximation- no gas enters or leaves the system sosum of mass remains constant e.g.  $\Delta M_*(t) + \Delta M_g(t) = 0$  (e.g. sum of changes in gas and stellar mass balance)

Integrate eq. 4.14 to get  $Z(t)=Z(t=0)+pln[M_{a}(t=0)/M_{a}(t)]$ 

17

- Metallicity grows with time as stars form and gas is used up
- The mass of stars formed before time t is  $M_g(0)-M_g(t)$
- These stars have a metallicity  $\langle Z(t) \rangle$  and so
- M<sub>\*</sub>(<Z))=M<sub>q</sub>(0)[(1-exp[Z-Z(0)/p)] eq 4.16
- The mass M<sub>\*</sub>(<Z) of slowly evolving stars that have abundances below the given level Z depends only on the quantity of gas remaining in the galaxy when its metal abundance has reached that value.
- Once all the gas is gone, this model predicts that the mass of stars with metallicity between Z and Z + Z should be
- $[dM_{(\langle Z \rangle)/dZ}] \Delta Z \propto exp\{-[Z(t) Z(0)]/p\}\Delta Z.$

### Closed Box- continued

- Net change in metal content of gas
- $dM_h = p dM_{star} Z dM_{star} = (p Z) dM_{star}$
- Change in Z since  $dM_g = -dM_{star}$  and  $Z = M_h/M_g$  then
- $dZ=dM_h/M_g M_h dM_g/M_g^2 = (p-Z) dM_{star}/M_g + (M_h/M_g) (dM_{star}/M_g) = pdM_{star}/M_g$
- $d Z/dt = -p(dM_g/dt) M_g$
- If we assume that the yield y is independent of time and metallicity (Z) then
- $Z(t) = Z(0) p \ln M_g(t) / M_g(0)$  metallicity of gas grows with time logarithmically 4.15

### Closed Box- continued

• metallicity of gas grows with time logarithmically mass of stars that have a metallicity less than Z(t) is  $M_{star}[< Z(t)]=M_{star}(t)=M_{g}(0)-M_{g}(t)$  or  $M_{star}[< Z(t)]=M_{g}(0)*[1-exp((Z(t)-Z(0))/p]$ 

when all the gas is gone, mass of stars with metallicity Z, Z+d Z is

 $M_{star}[Z] \alpha \exp((Z(t)-Z(0))/p) dZ$ - we use this to derive the yield from data

 $Z(today) \sim Z(0-pln[M_g(today)/M_g(0)]; Z(today) \sim 0.7 Z_{sun}$ 

since initial mass of gas was sum of gas today and stars today  $M_g(0)=M_g(today)+M_s(today)$  with for MW  $M_g(today)\sim 10M_{\odot}/pc^2$   $M_{stars}(today)\sim 40M_{\odot}/pc^2$ 

get p=0.43  $Z_{sun}$  go to pg 180 in text to see sensitivity to average metallicity of stars

### **Closed Box- Problems**

- Problem is that closed box connects todays gas and stars yet have systems like globulars with no gas and more or less uniform abundance.
- Also need to tweak yields and/or assumptions to get good fits to different systems like local group dwarfs.
- 'G dwarf' problem in MW (S+G pg 180-181) nearly half of all stars in the local disk <u>should</u> <u>have less than a quarter of the Sun's metal</u> <u>content.</u> BUT less than 25% have such low abundances
- Go to more complex models leaky box (e.g inflow/outflow);
  - assume outflow of metal enriched material g(t) which is proportional to star formation rate g(t)=cdM<sub>s</sub>/dt;
  - solution is Z(t)=Z(0)-[(p/(1+c))\*ln[M<sub>g</sub>(t)/ M<sub>g</sub>(0)]- reduces effective yield but does not change relative abundances



Green is closed box model red is observations of local stars





# **Accreting-Box Model**

- Example: Accretion of pristine (metal-free) gas to the box
- Since the gas accreted is pristine, Eq (2) is still valid: the mass of heavy elements produced

 $dM_h/dt = (y - Z) dM_s/dt$ 

However, Eq. (1) for the conservation of mass in the box become:

 $dM_{g}/dt = - \, dM_{s}/dt + f(t)$ 

 Consider the simple case in which the mass in gas in the box is constant. This implies then

 $dZ/dt = 1/M_{g} * [(y - Z) dM_{s}/dt - Z dM_{g}/dt] = 1/M_{g} * [(y - Z) dM_{s}/dt]$ 

23

# **Accreting-Box Model**

- Integrating and assuming that Z(0) = 0
   Z = y [1 e<sup>-M<sub>s</sub>/M<sub>g</sub>]
  </sup>
- Therefore when  $M_s >> M_g$ , the metallicity Z ~ y
- The mass in stars that are more metal-poor than Z is
   M<sub>s</sub>(< Z) = M<sub>g</sub> ln (1 Z/y)
- In this case, for M<sub>g</sub> ~ 10 M<sub>sun</sub> / pc<sup>2</sup> and M<sub>s</sub> ~ 40 M<sub>sun</sub>/pc<sup>2</sup>, and for Z = 0.7 Z<sub>sun</sub>, then y ~ 0.71 Z<sub>sun</sub>. Thus the fraction of stars more metal-poor than 0.25 Z<sub>sun</sub> is M(<0.25) /M(<0.7) ~ 10%, in much better agreement with the observations of the solar neighborhood</p>

- Simple closed-box model works well for bulge of Milky Way
- Outflow and/or accretion is needed to explain

Metallicity distribution of stars in Milky Way disk

Mass-metallicity relation of local star-forming galaxies Metallicity-radius relation in

disk galaxies

Merger-induced starburst galaxies

Mass-metallicity relation in distant star-forming galaxies



Galactic bulge metallicity distributions of stars S&G fig 4.16- solid line is closed box model

### Leaky box

Outflow and/or accretion is needed to explain

- Metallicity distribution of stars in Milky Way disk
- Mass-metallicity relation of local starforming galaxies
- In a growing universe (remember galaxy masses increase with time) expect gas inflow
- Gas outflow could be caused by the effects of star formation (supernova) and active galaxies injecting huge amounts of energy



# The LMC

- Distance 50kpc
- Dwarf Irregular
  - Type Sm
- Tarantula Nebula
  - active star forming region
- Barred galaxy
- L≈1.7x10<sup>9</sup> L<sub>☉</sub>





IRAS (Jason Surace) Radio (RAIUB/MPIFR Bonn Each image is about 4°.5 on a side (9x moon's diame<sup>29</sup>/<sub>2</sub>er)

00 Leading Arm -10° • Clues to the MC's -20° dynamics **GALACTIC Lotitude** Magellanic - Common HI -30° Bridge envelope SMC -40° - Stream of gas "following" the -50° MC's Magellanic Stream -60° Magellanic Bridge (Hindman 1961) 345° 330° 300° 270° Magellanic Stream (Mathewson et al. 1974) GALACTIC Longitude Leading Arm (Putman et al. 1998) (RAIUB/MPIFR Bonn)Brüns et al 30 2004 A&A

#### Optical Image of LMC and SMC



### Magellanic Clouds

- Satellites of the MW: potentially dynamics of SMC and LMC and the Magellanic stream can allow detailed measurement of mass of the MW.
- LMC D~50kpc M<sub>gas</sub> ~ 0.6x10<sup>9</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> (~10% of Milky Way)Supernova rate ~0.2 of Milky Way

Position of LMC and SMC over time- in full up dynamical model; no merger with MW in 2 Gyrs ?





Figure 2: Single-dish observations of HI gas (Brüns et al. 2004). Left: HI column density map of the entire Magellanic System. Right: Mean velocity v(LSR), map of the entire Magellanic System



# **Dynamical Friction**

- Transfer of energy of the forward motion of the galaxies into internal energy (e.g. motion of test particles inside the galaxies)
- this drag force, is called dynamical friction, which transfers energy and momentum from the subject mass to the field particles.
- Intuitively, this can be understood from the fact that two-body encounters cause particles to exchange energies in such a way that the system evolves towards thermodynamic equilibrium.
- The set-up is an infalling galaxy of mass M<sub>s</sub> moves into a large collisionless object whose constituents have mass m<< M<sub>s</sub>
- Thus, in a system with multiple populations, each with a different particle mass  $m_i$ , two-body encounters drive the system towards equipartition, in which the mean kinetic energy per particle is locally the same for each population:  $m_1 < v_1^2 > = m_2 < v_2^2 >$



### Dynamical Friction Derivation pg 285 S&G

• As M moves past it gets a change in velocity in the perpendicular direction

 $\delta V=2Gm/bV$  (in the limit that b >>2G(M+m)/V<sup>2</sup>

momentum is conserved so change in kinetic energy in the perpendicular direction is

 $\delta(\text{KE}) = (M/2)(2\text{Gm/bV})^2 + (m/2)(2\text{GM}/bV)^2 = 2\text{G}^2\text{m}M(\text{M}+\text{m})/b^2\text{V}^2 \text{ (eq 7.5 S&G)}$ 

 $\delta V \sim [2G^2m(M+m)/b^2V^3]$ 

and  $dV/dt{\sim}4\pi G^{2[}(M{+}m)/V^2]$ 

notice that the smaller object acquires the most energy- which can only come from the forward motion of galaxy M



# Dynamical Friction-cont

- basically this process allows the exchange of energy between a smaller 'incoming' mass and the larger host galaxy
- The smaller object acquires more energy
  - removes energy from the directed motion small particles (e.g. stars) and transfers it to random motion (heat) - incoming galaxy 'bloats' and it loses stars.
- It is not identical to hydrodynamic drag:
  - in the low velocity limit the force is ~velocity, while in the high limit is goes as  $v^{\text{-}2}$
- independent of the mass of the particles but depends on their total density- e.g. massive satellite slowed more quickly than a small one

35

Analytic Estimate How Fast Will Local Group Merge?

- Dynamical friction (S+G 7.1.1)-occurs when an object has a relative velocity wrt to a stationary set of masses. The moving stars are deflected slightly, producing a higher density 'downstream'- producing a net drag on the moving particles
- Net force =Mdv/dt~ 4π G<sup>2</sup>M+m)nm/V<sup>2</sup> (eq 7.8) for particles of equal mass m and number n-so time to 'lose' significant energy-timescale for dynamical frictionslower galaxy moves, larger its deacceleration a more massive satellite is slowed more quickly
- $t_{\text{friction}} \sim V/(dv/dt) \sim V^3/4\pi G^2 Mm\rho/\ln\Lambda$  (in previous lecture)

M~10<sup>10</sup> M;m=1M;  $\rho$ ~3x10<sup>-4</sup> M/pc<sup>3</sup> Galactic density at distance of LMC (problem 7.6)

putting in typical values for LMC t<sub>friction</sub>~3Gyrs

36

- Accurate estimates of the effects of dynamical friction and the timescale for an orbiting satellite to lose its energy and angular momentum to merge with a host are essential for many astrophysical problems.
- the growth of galaxies depends on their dynamical evolution within larger dark matter halos.
- dynamical friction provides a critical link between dark matter halo mergers and the galaxy mergers that determine, e.g., stellar masses, supermassive black hole masses, galaxy colors, and galaxy morphologies. (Boylan-Kolchin et al 2007)

# LMC Merger??

- Depends sensitively on LMC orbit and model of MW potential-
- At the Clouds' presentday position, a large fraction of their observed line of sight and proper motion speeds are due to the Sun's motion around the Galactic center!
- The origin of the Magellanic Clouds is still an enigma as they are the only blue, gasrich irregulars in the local group.



K. Johnston

37



### Distance to LMC

• LMC is unique in that many Cepheids can be detected in a galaxy with rather different metallicity with no effect of crowding

distance modulus,  $\mu$ ,(log d=1+ $\mu$ /5) pc LMC  $\mu$ = 18.48 ± 0.04 mag; (49.65 Kpc)





LMC Distance Modulus

This sets the distance scale for comparison with Cepheids in nearby galaxies (Freedman+Madore 2010)

# Rotation of the LMC New result from Gaia

- Each vector shows motion of stars over next 7.2Myr
- Big vector is overall motion of LMC (van den Marel and Sahlmann 2017)
- Proper motion is ~ 1mas/yr and velocities are in km/sec to connect the 2 need distance.
- Fit gives m-M=18.54 mag or D= 51 kpc



Right Ascension

# 3D Map of LMC/SMC

• Data so precise get 3D 'map' of LMC/SMC



# Cosmic Rays and y-rays

- LMC, SMC and M31 are only galaxies, other than MW, for which γ-ray images exist.
- Look for correlations with sites of CR acceleration and/or for dense gas which the CRs interact with to produce γ-rays.





γ-ray intensity scale

### LMC Cosmic Rays and $\gamma$ -rays

γ-ray emission correlates with massive star forming regions and not with the gas distribution (simulated images if the γ-ray emission was distributed like the source)

- Compactness of emission regions suggests little CR diffusion
- 30 Doradus star forming region is a bright source of gamma rays and very likely a cosmic-ray accelerator



Neutral & molecular hydrogen templates poorly fit the data

 Ionized hydrogen template provides best fit γ-ray emission poorly correlated with dense gas (!) Dermer 2011 44