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**Course Outline**

* **Resolved stellar populations**

  I. Ingredients of population models: tracks, isochrones and the initial mass function. Effects of age and metallicity. Star cluster colour-magnitude diagrams.

* **Colours of unresolved populations**


* **Spectra of unresolved populations**


* **Additional topics: chemical evolution and stellar masses**

  IV. Abundance ratios, nucleosynthesis and chemical evolution. Stellar mass estimation: methods, uncertainties and limitations.
We saw that (optical) colours of unresolved populations cannot be used to infer ages of galaxies because metallicity effects and ages effects redden the integrated population in indistinguishable ways.

With spectroscopy we can isolate narrow regions of the spectrum that can be traced to stellar temperature effects (i.e. population age) or to element abundances (metallicity).

Needs more observation time than colours, but we now have surveys with \( \sim 100,000s \) of galaxy spectra.

As before, start with stars and build up to make predicted spectra for SSPs. Ultimately build complex star-formation histories by summing over SSPs.
Stellar Spectra

HOT Stars
“early”

COOL Stars
“late”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hγ</th>
<th>Hβ</th>
<th>Hα</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elements and Bands:
- Ca H+K
- CH G
- C2
- Mg b Fe
- Na D
- TiO
Different spectral types ($T_{\text{eff}}$) populate different parts of the HRD. And have characteristic narrow spectral features.
In particular note the increasing strength of Hydrogen lines (Balmer series) in the hotter stars.

These are strongest at spectral type A... which is why they were called “A”!
Different spectral types ($T_{\text{eff}}$) populate different parts of the HRD. And have characteristic narrow spectral features.
Spectral Synthesis

BASIC IDEA

Simple extension of the synthetic colours for SSPs that we saw earlier.

Now instead of adding luminosities, we add the spectra of stars at different points along the isochrone, to predict the “total” spectrum of the galaxy.

KEY QUESTION

Where do we get the spectra that we will add together?

Empirical or theoretical libraries?

Do the libraries have all the types of star that matter?

ASIDE: WHY NOT....

Try to fit galaxy spectra directly by summing star spectra of different type:

\[ \text{Spectrum} = a_1 \ast A + a_2 \ast F + a_3 \ast G + a_4 \ast K + a_5 \ast M, \]

maximizing the weights \( a_n \) to get the best match to the galaxy...?
**OBSERVED SPECTRA OF STARS**

Desirable to cover large range in $T_{\text{eff}}$, $\log g$ ("gravity" i.e. dwarf vs giant) and Fe/H.

And to **know** the atmospheric parameters of the stars (difficult for the coolest stars.)

**PROBLEMS**

All the stars in empirical libraries are in our galaxy, which limits parameter coverage.

Valid application of the models implicitly restricted to systems with stars “like” those in our galaxy.
THEORETICAL LIBRARIES

Based on stellar atmosphere models, e.g. ATLAS9 (Castelli & Kurucz 2003) MARCS (Gustafsson et al. 2003)

ADVANTAGES

Much more flexible than empirical libraries: In principle can obtain spectra for any value of Teff, log g and Fe/H (and any chemical mixture).

CHALLENGES

Complex atmosphere physics (especially for hottest and coolest stars) may not be adequately modelled.

Empirical atomic and (especially) molecular line-lists may not be complete enough.

Include QM-predicted lines not verified in lab? These can be badly wrong in detail, but needed for accurate colours (Coelho et al.)
Spectral libraries beyond the optical

IR and UV spectral libraries typically lag behind the optical.

In UV, need space observations, e.g. NGSL/STIS with HST.

In IR, has been a focus on the K-band, in particular CO 2.3um bandhead. (e.g. Marmol-Queralto et al.)

(But this shifts out of ground-based K-window for even very low redshifts...)

Excellent new IR library from Rayner et al. 2009 covers much wider range in wavelength.
IRTF Library of cool (FGKM) stars in the near-IR (0.8-5.0 micron)
# X-Shooter Spectral Library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Resolution $R=\lambda/\Delta\lambda$</th>
<th>Spectral range (nm)</th>
<th>Number of stars</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STELIB</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>320-930</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELODIE</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>390-680</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>[15], [16], [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDO-US</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>346-946</td>
<td>1237</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILES</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>352-750</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRTF-SpeX</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>800-2500</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGSL</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>167-1025</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVES-POP</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>307-1030</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW2000</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>500-2500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSL</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>320-2500</td>
<td>~600</td>
<td>~600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reminder...

ABUNDANCES FROM HIGH-RESOLUTION SPECTROSCOPY

With high-resolution spectra of individual stars, we can measure equivalent widths of absorption lines from individual atomic transitions.

Measure abundances by comparison to “model stellar atmospheres”: Model of absorption line formation in outer layers of star.

(Inputs to these models are composition, temperature and surface gravity. Outputs are synthetic spectra for comparison to observed)

Can we do this for galaxies?
“What you’d like to get”

“What you get”

(George Hau)
The Problem - II

What you’d like to get

What you get

[Graph showing wavelength vs. intensity with peaks and troughs across the spectrum]

Wavelength (angstroms)
Galaxy Spectra

INTRINSIC LIMITATIONS

Internal motions of stars within a galaxy cause Doppler broadening.

For large elliptical galaxy, $v > 150$ km/s.

Cannot reach resolution higher than $R = \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} \sim 1000$... no matter how powerful the spectrograph.

All the features in the galaxy spectrum are blends of many lines.

Can no longer isolate individual lines.

Continuum is no longer well-defined.

How can we measure strengths of lines?
SSP Spectra: Variation with Age

Vazdekis et al. (2010) models at solar Fe/H from MILES library.
Changes in the spectrum are still quite subtle for older ages!

And quite “linear”: old + young looks very similar to a middle-age SSP.
SSP Spectra: Variation with metallicity

Vazdekis et al. (2007) models at age 10 Gyr from MILES library
Disentangling age and metallicity

BREAKING THE DEGENERACY WITH SPECTRA

Two spectra with age and metallicity chosen to produce same broad-band colours.

Similar spectra, but differences in detail at the Balmer lines. Also differences at λ<4000Å.

We can exploit this localized spectral information to beat the age-metallicity degeneracy.

But how?

Vazdekis et al. (2007) models from MILES library
We have seen that the degeneracy-breaking power of spectra is localised to particular features.

So define “indices” which isolate these features and so carry most of the information in the spectra.

Cannot see “true” continuum. Use neighbouring region to define “pseudo-continua”. Express absorbed flux as an equivalent width.
Line Indices

Pseudo-continua and index band defined to be $\sim 10$ Angstroms wide to match typical velocity dispersions in galaxies.

An index may be negative even though the feature it measures is still in absorption.

Historically important indices based on the Lick Observatory Stellar Library (Worthey et al. 1994).

Lick Library had low spectral resolution 9 Ang FWHM (okay for giant galaxies, but throwing away information for objects with lower velocity dispersions).

New indices often defined in similar way, e.g. narrow H$\gamma$ indices, Ca triplet indices in the red.
Predicting Indices

Either: Sum library spectra along isochrone and measure indices on the synthetic spectra (e.g. Vazdekis, Coelho, Percival).

Or: Measure indices on the library stars and compute luminosity-weighted average index along the isochrone (e.g. Worthey, Schiavon).

Result: Balmer-vs-metallic grids widely separate the constant-age and constant-metallicity tracks. So we can “read off” the results for an observed galaxy.

Many pairs of indices could be chosen: do they all give the same results for a given galaxy?
But are we including all relevant stars?

Synthesising the “integrated” spectrum of M67 by adding together spectra for its stars.

Indices match the CMD-derived age & spectroscopic metallicity if the blue stragglers are not included.

Including the BSs makes a big difference to the indices (hot stars so strong Balmer lines).

(Recall, M67 has unusually large population of BSs).

Predicted spectrum is only as good as the isochrones etc. used to build it!
Consistency among grids?

Same galaxies in each panel (giant ellipticals in Shapley supercluster).

Same grids in age & metallicity, but different indices on x-axis: Fe5015 and Mgb5177

Bad: Fe5015 index gives $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -0.1$, but with Mgb5177, we get $[\text{Fe/H}] > +0.2$

Worse: with Mgb5177, the data spill beyond the extent of the models!
Magnesium Enhancement

We seem to have derived metallicities 2x higher measured with Mgb5177 than with Fe5015.

Mgb5177 really measures mostly Mg abundance.

Fe5015 really measures mostly Fe abundance.

Conclude that in giant ellipticals there is more Mg per unit Fe than in the library stars from which the models were built.

Express this “Mg enhancement” as $[\text{Mg/Fe}] > 0$

(we might guess $[\text{Mg/Fe}] \approx +0.3$, based on that factor-of-two discrepancy).

Our models are based on stars with solar Mg/Fe.

How do we generalise beyond this?

And what is the Mg enhancement telling us anyway?
Spectra of unresolved pops: summary

We have come a long way:

The different behaviour of Balmer and metal indices leads to grids that clearly distinguish age differences from metallicity differences.

Spectra sufficient to measure these indices are or will be available in huge numbers from SDSS/GAMA/etc for luminous galaxies.

The difference in abundance of Mg and Fe might provide a crucial window into galaxy evolution.

But some worries remain:

Are we including all the relevant stars, e.g. are Blue HB and BS stars treated well enough?

Even if we are including them in the synthesis, are the library stars “good enough”, e.g. synthetic spectra for cool stars?

How do Mg/Fe variations change the stellar evolution and stellar atmospheres? How can we include varying Mg/Fe in a consistent way?
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